arXiv:math/0203219v2 [math.AP] 22 Oct 2003

Global solutions of the Klein - Gordon - Schrödinger system with rough data

Hartmut Pecher
Fachbereich Mathematik
Bergische Universität Wuppertal
Gaußstr. 20
D-42097 Wuppertal
Germany
e-mail Hartmut.Pecher@math.uni-wuppertal.de

Abstract

The Klein - Gordon – Schrödinger system with Yukawa coupling is shown to have a unique global solution for rough data, which not necessarily have finite energy. The proof uses a generalized bilinear estimate of Strichartz type and Bourgain's idea to split the data into low and high frequency parts.

0 Introduction

In this paper we consider the Cauchy problem for the (3+1)-dimensional Klein - Gordon - Schrödinger system with Yukawa coupling:

$$i\psi_t + \Delta\psi = -\phi\psi \tag{1}$$

$$\phi_{tt} + (-\Delta + 1)\phi = |\psi|^2 \tag{2}$$

$$\psi(0) = \psi_0 \quad , \quad \phi(0) = \phi_0 \quad , \quad \phi_t(0) = \phi_1 \tag{3}$$

Here $\psi : \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{C}$ is the nucleon field and $\phi : \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ the meson field. This system satisfies the conservation laws (23) and (24) below. It is well-known that these conservation laws imply the existence of a unique global solution for data $\psi_0 \in H^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^3), \phi_0 \in H^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^3), \phi_1 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)$ with $\psi \in C^0(\mathbf{R}, H^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)), \phi \in C^0(\mathbf{R}, H^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)) \cap C^1(\mathbf{R}, L^2(\mathbf{R}^3))$ (cf. [1], Thme. 3). The same is true for data $\psi_0 \in H^{m,2}(\mathbf{R}^3), \phi_0 \in H^{m,2}(\mathbf{R}^3), \phi_1 \in H^{m-1,2}(\mathbf{R}^3), m \in \mathbf{N}, m \geq 2$ (cf. [2]).

Our main results are local existence and uniqueness for data $(\psi_0, \phi_0, \phi_1) \in H^{s,2}(\mathbf{R}^3) \times H^{m,2}(\mathbf{R}^3) \times H^{m-1,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)$ with $s = m = 0$ and more regular data (Theorem 2.1) and global existence and uniqueness for data without finite energy, namely under the assumptions $1 \geq s, m > 7/10$ and $s + m > 3/2$ (Theorem 6.1).

We use Bourgain's idea ([6],[7]) to split the data into low and high frequency parts in order to prove global well-posedness for rough data for which the conservation laws are not directly applicable. This technique has been successfully applied to other problems ([8],[14],[15],[19],[21],[24]). We should also mention

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 35Q99

that for problems with a scaling invariance (which is not true in our situation) improved and sometimes optimal global-wellposedness results were given by Colliander-Keel-Staffilani-Takaoka-Tao using the so-called "I-method" ([9],[10], [11],[12],[13]).

We also rely on [17] for the local theory and the framework for the technique. The key point is a new smooth ing estimate for the nonlinearity $\phi\psi$ in equation (1) given in Lemma 1.5 which is a generalized bilinear Strichartz type estimate. A similar estimate has been given before by Bourgain for the pure Schrödinger problem ([6],[7]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 the estimates for the nonlinearities are given along the lines of Ginibre, Tsutsumi and Velo [17] in the $X^{s,b}$-spaces, which were introduced by Bourgain ([4],[5]), Klainerman and Machedon ([22],[23]) and Kenig, Ponce and Vega [20].

For an equation of the form

$$iu_t - \varphi(-i\nabla_x)u = 0 \tag{4}$$

where φ is a measurable function, let $X^{s,b}_\varphi$ be the completion of $S(\mathbf{R}^4)$ with respect to

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{X^{s,b}_\varphi} : &= \| <\xi>^s <\tau>^b \mathcal{F}(e^{it\varphi(-i\nabla_x)} f(x,t))\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}} \\ &= \| <\xi>^s <\tau + \varphi(\xi)>^b \widehat{f}(\xi,\tau)\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \end{aligned}$$

For $\varphi(\xi) = \pm <\xi>$ we use the notation $X^{s,b}_\pm$ and for $\varphi(\xi) = |\xi|^2$ simply $X^{s,b}$. For a given time interval I we define

$$\|f\|_{X^{s,b}(I)} = \inf_{\widetilde{f}_{|I}=f} \|\widetilde{f}\|_{X^{s,b}} \quad \text{and similarly} \quad \|f\|_{X^{s,b}_\pm(I)}$$

In Section 2 we transform the system into a first-order system for (ψ,ϕ_+,ϕ_-) and give the local well-posedness result as a variation of a result of [17] for the Zakharov system. Especially we have local solutions in all those cases where we want to show the existence of a unique global solution.

In Section 3 we split the data into sums $\psi_0 = \psi_{01} + \psi_{02}$, $\phi_0 = \phi_{01} + \phi_{02}$, $\phi_1 = \phi_{11} + \phi_{12}$, where the low frequency parts $(\psi_{01}, \phi_{01}, \phi_{11})$ are regular with large norms and the high frequency parts $(\psi_{02}, \phi_{02}, \phi_{12})$ are just in $H^{s,2} \times H^{m,2} \times H^{m-1,2}$ with small L^2-norms.

In Section 4 the solution $(\widetilde{\psi}, \widetilde{\phi}_+, \widetilde{\phi}_-)$ of the corresponding first order problem with data $(\psi_{01}, \phi_{0+1}, \phi_{0-1})$ is further investigated on a suitable time interval I using the conservation laws and Strichartz type estimates.

In Section 5 we consider the system fulfilled by $(\widehat{\psi}, \widehat{\phi}_\pm) = (\psi - \widetilde{\psi}, \phi_\pm - \widetilde{\phi}_\pm)$ with data $(\psi_{02}, \phi_{0\pm2})$ and construct a solution on the same time interval I, thus giving a solution of our original problem on I. The inhomogeneous parts w of $\widehat{\psi}$ and $z_\pm$ of $\widehat{\phi}_\pm$ are shown to belong to $H^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)$, thus to be more regular than the homogeneous parts $e^{it\Delta}\psi_{02}$ and $e^{\mp it(-\Delta+1)^{1/2}}\psi_{0\pm2}$ which belong to $H^{s,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)$ and $H^{m,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)$, respectively.

In Section 6 we show that the process can be iterated to get a solution on any time interval $[0,T]$. One constructs solutions step by step on time intervals of equal length $|I|$, taking as new initial data at time $|I|$ the triple $(\widetilde{\psi}(|I|) + w(|I|), \widetilde{\phi}_\pm(|I|) + z_\pm(|I|))$ and repeating the argument on $[|I|, 2|I|]$. In each step the involved norms have to be controlled independently of the iteration step in order to be able to choose intervals of equal length.

We use the following standard facts about the spaces $X^{s,b}_\varphi$:
if u is a solution of (4) with $u(0) = f$ and ψ a cutoff function in $C^\infty_0(\mathbf{R})$ with $supp\, \psi \subset (-2,2)$, $\psi \equiv 1$ on $[-1,1]$, $\psi(t) = \psi(-t)$, $\psi(t) \ge 0$, $\psi_\delta(t) := \psi(t/\delta)$, if $0 < \delta \le 1$, we have, for $b \ge 0$:

$$\|\psi_1 u\|_{X^{s,b}_\varphi} \le c\|f\|_{H^{s,2}_x} \tag{5}$$

If v is the solution of

$$iv_t + \varphi(-i\nabla_x)v = F \, , \, v(0) = 0$$

we have, for $b' + 1 \ge b \ge 0 \ge b' > -1/2$:

$$\|\psi_\delta v\|_{X^{s,b}_\varphi} \le c\delta^{1+b'-b}\|F\|_{X^{s,b'}_\varphi} \tag{6}$$

(for a proof see [17], Lemma 2.1).
We have $X^{s,b}_\varphi(I) \subset C^0(I, H^{s,2}(\mathbf{R}^3))$, if $b > 1/2$, $I \subset \mathbf{R}$.
The following interpolation property holds:

$$X^{s,b}_\varphi(I) = (X^{s_0,b_0}_\varphi(I), X^{s_1,b_1}_\varphi(I))_{[\Theta]}$$

where $0 \le \Theta \le 1$, $b = (1-\Theta)b_0 + \Theta b_1$, $s = (1-\Theta)s_0 + \Theta s_1$ (see [24], Chapter 0).
We also use the following consequences of the Strichartz type estimates for the Schrödinger equation in $\mathbf{R}^n$:
For $0 \le \frac{2}{q} = n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}) < 1$ the following estimate holds

$$\|e^{it\Delta}\psi_0\|_{L^q_t(I,L^r_x(\mathbf{R}^n))} \le c\|\psi_0\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^n)} \tag{7}$$

and implies

$$\|f\|_{L^q_t(I,L^r_x(\mathbf{R}^n))} \le c\|f\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \tag{8}$$

The dual version also holds:

$$\|f\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)} \le c\|f\|_{L^{q'}_t(I,L^{r'}_x(\mathbf{R}^n))} \tag{9}$$

where q', r' are dual to q, r.
Similarly, for the Klein-Gordon equation, let $0 \le \frac{2}{q} \le \min((n-1)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}), 1)$, $n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}) - \frac{1}{q} = \mu$ and $A = -\Delta + 1$. Then

$$\|e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}\phi_0\|_{L^q_t(I,L^r_x(\mathbf{R}^n))} \le c\|\phi_0\|_{H^{\mu,2}_x(\mathbf{R}^n)} \tag{10}$$

This implies

$$\|f\|_{L^q_t(I,L^r_x(\mathbf{R}^n))} \le c\|f\|_{X^{\mu,\frac{1}{2}+}_\pm(I)} \tag{11}$$

and its dual version

$$\|f\|_{X_{\pm}^{-\mu,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)} \le c\|f\|_{L_t^{q'}(I,L_x^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n))} \tag{12}$$

(see [17], Lemma 2.4 and [18]).
Finally we use the following notation for $\lambda \in \mathbf{R} : <\lambda> := (1+\lambda^2)^{1/2}$, and $a+$ (resp. $a-$) denotes a number slightly larger (resp. smaller) than a.

Acknowledgment: I thank A. Grünrock for many helpful discussions.

1 Bilinear estimates

Lemma 1.1 *Assume* $P \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R})$, $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *with* $\nabla P \neq 0$ *on* $supp\,\psi$. *Then the following identity holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \delta(P(x))\psi(x)\,dx = \int_{\{P(x)=0\}} \frac{\psi(x)}{|\nabla P(x)|}\,dS_x$$

Proof: We assume w.l.o.g. that on $supp\,\psi$ we have $\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_j} \neq 0$ for some fixed j. Otherwise we take a smooth resolution of unity $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^N$ s. th. on $supp\,w_j$ we have this property. By [16], p. 215 we have (where H = Heaviside function):

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \delta(P(x))\psi(x)\,dx &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} H'(P(x))\psi(x)\,dx \\
= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} H'(P(x))\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_j}(x)\frac{\psi(x)}{\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_j}(x)}\,dx &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(H(P(x)))\frac{\psi(x)}{\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_j}(x)}\,dx \\
= -\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} H(P(x))\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left(\frac{\psi(x)}{\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_j}(x)}\right)dx &= -\int_{\{P(x)>0\}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left(\frac{\psi(x)}{\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_j}(x)}\right)dx \\
= -\int_{\{P(x)=0\}} \frac{\psi(x)}{\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_j}(x)}\nu_j(x)\,dS_x &= \int_{\{P(x)=0\}} \frac{\psi(x)}{|\nabla P(x)|}\,dS_x
\end{aligned}$$

by Gauss' theorem, where $\nu(x) = -\frac{\nabla P(x)}{|\nabla P(x)|}$ denotes the outer normal.

Now, let $\{\phi_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ be a smooth resolution of unity in $\mathbf{R}^3$, i.e. $supp\,\phi_0 \subset \{|\xi| \le 2\}$, $supp\,\phi_j \subset \{2^{j-1} \le |\xi| \le 2^{j+1}\}\,(j \ge 1)$, $\sum_{j=0}^\infty \phi_j(\xi) = 1\,\forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^3$, $0 \le \phi_j(\xi) \le 1\,\forall j,\xi$, $\phi_j \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)$. Define the operators $P_{\Delta j} := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi_j\mathcal{F}$, $j = 0,1,2,\ldots$. and $P_l := \sum_{j=0}^l P_{\Delta j}$.
Let A denote $-\Delta + 1$ in the sequel.
The following generalized Strichartz inequality holds:

Lemma 1.2 *For* $l, m \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$*:*

$$\|e^{it\Delta}P_{\Delta l}\psi_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_{\Delta m}\psi_2\|_{L_t^2(\mathbf{R},L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^3))} \le c2^{m-\frac{l}{2}}\|P_{\Delta l}\psi_1\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^3)}\|P_{\Delta m}\psi_2\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

Proof: Case 1: $l \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|e^{it\Delta}P_{\Delta l}\psi_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_{\Delta m}\psi_2\|^2_{L^2_{xt}} \\
= \; & \int | \int_{\xi_1+\xi_2=\xi} e^{-it(|\xi_1|^2 \pm (|\xi_2|^2+1)^{1/2})} \phi_l(\xi_1)\widehat{\psi}_1(\xi_1)\phi_m(\xi_2)\widehat{\psi}_2(\xi_2)\, d\xi_1|^2 d\xi dt \\
= \; & \int_{\xi_1+\xi_2=\xi=\eta_1+\eta_2} e^{-it(|\xi_1|^2 \pm (|\xi_2|^2+1)^{1/2} - |\eta_1|^2 \mp (|\eta_2|^2+1)^{1/2})} \\
& \phi_l(\xi_1)\widehat{\psi}_1(\xi_1)\phi_m(\xi_2)\widehat{\psi}_2(\xi_2)\phi_l(\eta_1)\overline{\widehat{\psi}}_1(\eta_1)\phi_m(\eta_2)\overline{\widehat{\psi}}_2(\eta_2) d\xi dt d\xi_1 d\eta_1 \\
= \; & \int_{\xi_1+\xi_2=\xi=\eta_1+\eta_2} \delta(|\xi_1|^2 \pm (|\xi_2|^2+1)^{1/2} - |\eta_1|^2 \mp (|\eta_2|^2+1)^{1/2})\phi_l(\xi_1)\widehat{\psi}_1(\xi_1)\phi_m(\xi_2)\widehat{\psi}_2(\xi_2) \\
& \widetilde{\phi}_l(\eta_1)^{1/2}\widetilde{\phi}_m(\eta_2)^{1/2}\phi_l(\eta_1)\overline{\widehat{\psi}}_1(\eta_1)\phi_m(\eta_2)\overline{\widehat{\psi}}_2(\eta_2)\widetilde{\phi}_l(\xi_1)^{1/2}\widetilde{\phi}_m(\xi_1)^{1/2} d\xi d\xi_1 d\eta_1 \\
\leq \; & \int_{\xi_1+\xi_2=\xi} |\phi_l(\xi_1)\widehat{\psi}_1(\xi_1)\phi_m(\xi_2)\widehat{\psi}_2(\xi_2)|^2 \\
& (\int_{\eta_1+\eta_2=\xi} \delta(|\eta_1|^2 \pm (|\eta_2|^2+1)^{1/2} - |\xi_1|^2 \mp (|\xi_2|^2+1)^{1/2})\widetilde{\phi}_l(\eta_1)\widetilde{\phi}_m(\eta_2) d\eta_1) d\xi d\xi_1
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\phi}_l := \phi_{l-1} + \phi_l + \phi_{l+1} = 1$ on $supp\, \phi_l$.
Define now

$$P(\eta_1) := |\eta_1|^2 \pm (|\eta_2|^2+1)^{1/2} - |\xi_1|^2 \mp (|\xi_2|^2+1)^{1/2}\, ,\, \eta_2 = \xi - \eta_1$$

Thus

$$|\nabla_{\eta_1}P(\eta_1)| = |2\eta_1 \pm \frac{\eta_1 - \xi}{(|\eta_1-\xi|^2+1)^{1/2}}| \geq 2|\eta_1| - 1$$

Because $supp\, \widetilde{\phi}_l \subset \{2^{l-2} \leq |\eta_1| \leq 2^{l+2}\}$ we have for $l \geq 2$:

$$|\nabla_{\eta_1}P(\eta_1)| \geq 2^{l-1} - 1 \geq 2^{l-2}$$

Now using Lemma 1.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\xi,\xi_1) \; &= \; \int_{\eta_1+\eta_2=\xi} \delta(P(\eta_1))\widetilde{\phi}_l(\eta_1)\widetilde{\phi}_m(\eta_2) d\eta_1 \\
&= \; \int_{P(\eta_1)=0\, ,\, \eta_1+\eta_2=\xi} \frac{\widetilde{\phi}_l(\eta_1)\widetilde{\phi}_m(\eta_2)}{|\nabla_{\eta_1}P(\eta_1)|} dS_{\eta_1} \\
&\leq \; 2^{-l+2}\int_{P(\eta_1)=0} \widetilde{\phi}_m(\xi-\eta_1)\, dS_{\eta_1} \\
&\leq \; 2^{-l+2}\int_{\{P(\eta_1)=0\}\cap\{\eta_1\in\mathbf{R}^3\, :\, |\xi-\eta_1|\leq 2^{m+2}\}} dS_{\eta_1} \\
&\leq \; c2^{-l+1}\, 2^{2(m+2)} \\
&= \; c\, 2^{2m-l}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|e^{it\Delta}P_{\Delta l}\psi_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_{\Delta m}\psi_2\|^2_{L^2_{xt}} \\
& \quad \leq c\, 2^{2m-l}\int_{\xi_1+\xi_2=\xi} |\phi_l(\xi_1)\widehat{\psi}_1(\xi_1)\phi_m(\xi_2)\widehat{\psi}_2(\xi_2)|^2\, d\xi d\xi_1
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= c\, 2^{2m-l} \int |\phi_l(\xi_1)\widehat{\psi_1}(\xi_1)|^2 \left(\int |\phi_m(\xi-\xi_1)\widehat{\psi}_2(\xi-\xi_1)|^2 \, d\xi \right) d\xi_1 \\
&= c\, 2^{2m-l} \int |\widehat{P_{\Delta l}\psi}_1(\xi_1)|^2 \left(\int |(\widehat{P_{\Delta m}}\psi_2)(\xi-\xi_1)|^2 d\xi \right) d\xi_1 \\
&= c\, 2^{2m-l} \|P_{\Delta l}\psi_1\|^2_{L^2_x} \|P_{\Delta m}\psi_2\|^2_{L^2_x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2: $l \le 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|e^{it\Delta}P_{\Delta l}\psi_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_{\Delta m}\psi_2\|_{L^2_{xt}} &\le \|e^{it\Delta}P_{\Delta l}\psi_1\|_{L^4_{xt}} \|e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_{\Delta m}\psi_2\|_{L^4_{xt}} \\
&\le c\|P_{\Delta l}\psi_1\|_{\dot H_x^{\frac14,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{\Delta m}\psi_2\|_{H_x^{\frac12,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)}
\end{aligned}
$$

using Strichartz‘ inequalities as follows: by (7) with $q = 4$, $r = 3$ we have

$$
\|e^{it\Delta}P_{\Delta l}\psi_1\|_{L^4_{xt}} \le c\|e^{it\Delta}P_{\Delta l}\psi_1\|_{L^4_t \dot H_x^{\frac14,3}} \le c\|P_{\Delta l}\psi_1\|_{\dot H_x^{\frac14,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)}
$$

and by (10) with $q = r = 4$, $\mu = -1/2$:

$$
\|e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_{\Delta m}\psi_2\|_{L^4_t L^4_x} \le c\|P_{\Delta m}\psi_2\|_{H_x^{\frac12,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)}
$$

Now $\|P_{\Delta l}\psi_1\|_{\dot H^{\frac14,2}} \le c\|P_{\Delta l}\psi_1\|_{L^2_x}$ and $\|P_{\Delta m}\psi_2\|_{H^{\frac12,2}} \le c2^{\frac{m}{2}}\|P_{\Delta m}\psi_2\|_{L^2_x}$ gives the claimed result.

Lemma 1.3 *If* $0 \le s < 1/2$ *the following estimate holds:*

$$
\|e^{it\Delta}u_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}u_2\|_{L^2_t(\mathbf{R},H^{s,2}_x(\mathbf{R}^3))} \le c\|u_1\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^3)}\|u_2\|_{H^{s+\frac12+,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)}
$$

Proof: With $P_{-1} := 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|e^{it\Delta}u_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}u_2\|_{L^2_t H^{s,2}_x} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \|e^{it\Delta}P_N u_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_N u_2\|_{L^2_t H^{s,2}_x} \\
&\quad \le \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{N} \|e^{it\Delta}P_l u_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_l u_2 - e^{it\Delta}P_{l-1}u_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_{l-1}u_2\|_{L^2_t H^{s,2}_x} \\
&\quad \le \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \|e^{it\Delta}P_{\Delta l}u_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_l u_2\|_{L^2_t H^{s,2}_x} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \|e^{it\Delta}P_{l-1}u_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_{\Delta l}u_2\|_{L^2_t H^{s,2}_x} \\
&\quad =: \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(e^{it\Delta}P_{\Delta l}u_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_l u_2)(\xi) &= [\mathcal{F}(e^{it\Delta}P_{\Delta l}u_1) * \mathcal{F}(e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_l u_2)](\xi) \\
&= \int\int_{\xi_1+\xi_2=\xi} \mathcal{F}(e^{it\Delta}P_{\Delta l}u_1)(\xi_1)\mathcal{F}(e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_l u_2)(\xi_2)\, d\xi_1 d\xi_2
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|\xi| \le 2^{l+2}$ because of $2^{l-1} \le |\xi_1| \le 2^{l+1}$ and $|\xi_2| \le 2^{l+1}$ so that $supp\, \mathcal{F}(e^{it\Delta}P_{\Delta l}u_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_l u_2) \subset \{|\xi| \le 2^{l+2}\}$.
Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|e^{it\Delta}P_{\Delta l}u_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_l u_2\|_{L^2_t H^{s,2}_x} &= \| <\xi>^s \mathcal{F}(e^{it\Delta}P_{\Delta l}u_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_l u_2)\|_{L^2_t L^2_\xi} \\
&\le c2^{sl}\|e^{it\Delta}P_{\Delta l}u_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}P_l u_2\|_{L^2_{xt}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that by Lemma 1.2:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1 & \le c\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{sl} \|e^{it\Delta} P_{\Delta l} u_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}} P_l u_2\|_{L^2_{xt}} \\
& \le c\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{sl} \sum_{n\le l} \|e^{it\Delta} P_{\Delta l} u_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}} P_{\Delta n} u_2\|_{L^2_{xt}} \\
& \le c\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{sl} \sum_{n\le l} 2^{n-\frac{l}{2}} \|P_{\Delta l} u_1\|_{L^2_x} \|P_{\Delta n} u_2\|_{L^2_x} \\
& \le c\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{l(s-\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{n\le l} 2^{n} \|u_1\|_{L^2_x} \|P_{\Delta n} u_2\|_{L^2_x} \\
& = c\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{l(s-\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{n\le l} 2^{n} 2^{-n(s+\frac{1}{2}+)} 2^{n(s+\frac{1}{2}+)} \|u_1\|_{L^2_x} \|P_{\Delta n} u_2\|_{L^2_x} \\
& \le c\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{l(s-\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{n\le l} 2^{n(\frac{1}{2}-s-)} \|u_1\|_{L^2_x} \|u_2\|_{H_x^{s+\frac{1}{2}+,2}} \\
& \le c\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{l(s-\frac{1}{2})} 2^{l(\frac{1}{2}-s-)} \|u_1\|_{L^2_x} \|u_2\|_{H_x^{s+\frac{1}{2}+,2}} \quad (\text{if } s < \frac{1}{2}) \\
& = c\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2^{0-})^l \|u_1\|_{L^2_x} \|u_2\|_{H_x^{s+\frac{1}{2}+,2}} \\
& \le c\|u_1\|_{L^2_x} \|u_2\|_{H_x^{s+\frac{1}{2}+,2}}
\end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate Σ_2 we use Strichartz' inequalities again to conclude as above:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_2 & \le c\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{sl} \|e^{it\Delta} P_{l-1} u_1 e^{\pm itA^{1/2}} P_{\Delta l} u_2\|_{L^2_{xt}} \\
& \le c\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{sl} \|e^{it\Delta} P_{l-1} u_1\|_{L_t^{2+} L_x^{6-}} \|e^{\pm itA^{1/2}} P_{\Delta l} u_2\|_{L_t^{\infty -} L_x^{3+}} \\
& \le c\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{sl} \|P_{l-1} u_1\|_{L^2_x} \|P_{\Delta l} u_2\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}+,2}} \\
& \le c\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2^{0-})^l 2^{l(s+)} \|u_1\|_{L^2_x} \|P_{\Delta l} u_2\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}+,2}} \\
& \le c\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2^{0-})^l \|u_1\|_{L^2_x} \|u_2\|_{H_x^{s+\frac{1}{2}+,2}} \\
& \le c\|u_1\|_{L^2_x} \|u_2\|_{H_x^{s+\frac{1}{2}+,2}}
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

The following lemma is a direct consequence and a bilinear version of [17], Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 1.4 *For* $0 \le s < 1/2$ *the following estimate holds:*

$$\|v_1 v_2\|_{L_t^2(\mathbf{R}, H_x^{s,2}(\mathbf{R}^3))} \le c\|v_1\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v_2\|_{X_{\pm}^{s+\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}}$$

Proof: We define $U_{\varphi_1}(t) := e^{it\Delta}$, $U_{\varphi_2}(t) := e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}$ and start from

$$v_j(t) = U_{\varphi_j}(t) \int e^{it\tau} (\mathcal{F}_t U_{\varphi_j}(-t) v_1)(\tau)\, d\tau$$

Thus by use of Lemma 1.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v_1 v_2\|_{L^2_t(\mathbf{R},H^{s,2}_x)} \\
&= \| \int\int e^{it\tau_1} U_{\varphi_1}(t)(\mathcal{F}_t U_{\varphi_1}(-t)v_1)(\tau_1) e^{it\tau_2} U_{\varphi_2}(t)(\mathcal{F}_t U_{\varphi_2}(-t)v_2)(\tau_2)\, d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \|_{L^2_t H^{s,2}_x} \\
&\le \int\int \|U_{\varphi_1}(t)(\mathcal{F}_t U_{\varphi_1}(-t)v_1)(\tau_1) U_{\varphi_2}(t)(\mathcal{F}_t U_{\varphi_2}(-t)v_2)(\tau_2)\|_{L^2_t H^{s,2}_x} d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \\
&\le c \int\int \|(\mathcal{F}_t U_{\varphi_1}(-t)v_1)(\tau_1)\|_{L^2_x} \|(\mathcal{F}_t U_{\varphi_2}(-t)v_2)(\tau_2)\|_{H_x^{s+\frac{1}{2}+,2}} d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \\
&\le c(\int <\tau_1>^{-1-}\, d\tau_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\int <\tau_1>^{1+}\, \|(\mathcal{F}_t U_{\varphi_1}(-t)v_1)(\tau_1)\|^2_{L^2_x} d\tau_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \cdot (\int <\tau_2>^{-1-}\, d\tau_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\int <\tau_2>^{1+}\, \|(\mathcal{F}_t U_{\varphi_2}(-t)v_2)(\tau_2)\|^2_{H_x^{s+\frac{1}{2}+,2}} d\tau_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le c\|v_1\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v_2\|_{X_\pm^{s+\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}}
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1.1 *The following estimates hold for* $0 \le s < 1/2$*:*

$$\|un\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-}} \le c\|u\|_{L^2_t(\mathbf{R},H_x^{-s,2})} \|n\|_{X_\pm^{s+\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}}$$

Here u *and/or* n *can be replaced by* $\overline{u}$ *and/or* $\overline{n}$ *on the left and/or right hand side.*

Proof: By Lemma 1.4 the mapping $X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+} \to L^2_t H^{s,2}_x$ defined by $u \mapsto un$ is bounded by $c\|n\|_{X_\pm^{s+\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}}$. Thus the dual mapping $L^2_t H_x^{-s,2} \to X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-}$ defined by $u \mapsto u\overline{n}$ has the same bound, i.e.

$$\|u\overline{n}\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-}} \le c\|u\|_{L^2_t H_x^{-s,2}} \|n\|_{X_\pm^{s+\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}}$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\overline{n}\|^2_{X_+^{s+\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}} &= \int\int | <\tau + |\xi|>^{\frac{1}{2}+} <\xi>^{s+\frac{1}{2}+}\, \widehat{\overline{n}}(\xi,\tau)|^2 d\xi d\tau \\
&= \int\int <\tau + |\xi|>^{\frac{1}{2}+} <\xi>^{s+\frac{1}{2}+}\, \overline{\widehat{n}}(-\xi,-\tau)|^2 d\xi d\tau \\
&= \int\int <\widetilde{\tau} - |\widetilde{\xi}|>^{\frac{1}{2}+} <\widetilde{\xi}>^{s+\frac{1}{2}+}\, \widehat{n}(\widetilde{\xi},\widetilde{\tau})|^2 d\widetilde{\xi} d\widetilde{\tau} \\
&= \|n\|^2_{X_-^{s+\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}}
\end{aligned}$$

we can replace n by $\overline{n}$ on the left and/or right hand side of the claimed inequality. Trivially u may be replaced by $\overline{u}$ on the left and/or right hand side.

The key estimate for the nonlinearity in the Schrödinger equation is given in the following

Lemma 1.5 *If $s \ge 0$, $0 \le \sigma < 1/2$ the following estimates hold:*

$$\|un_\pm\|_{X^{s,-\frac{1}{2}-}} \le c(\|u\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}\|n_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{s-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}+}} + \|u\|_{X^{s-\sigma,0}}\|n_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}})$$

Here u and/or $n_\pm$ may be replaced by $\overline{u}$ and/or $\overline{n}_\pm$ on the left and/or right hand side.

Proof: We take the scalar product with a function $w \in X^{-s,\frac{1}{2}+}$ and estimate

$$|\int (un_\pm)(x_1,t_1)w(x_1,t_1)dx_1dt_1| = |\int\int \widehat{u}(\xi_2,\tau_2)\widehat{n_\pm}(\xi,\tau)\widehat{w}(\xi_1,\tau_1)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi_2 d\tau_2|$$

where $\xi = \xi_1 - \xi_2$, $\tau = \tau_1 - \tau_2$.
We split the integral into the parts $B_1 : |\xi_1| \le 2|\xi_2|$ and $B_2 : |\xi_1| > 2|\xi_2|$.
Estimate of B_1:
We use the notation $\sigma_i = \tau_i + |\xi_i|^2$ $(i = 1,2)$, $\sigma_\pm = \tau \pm |\xi|$ and Corollary 1.1:

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\int_{B_1}\int \widehat{u}(\xi_2,\tau_2)\widehat{n_\pm}(\xi,\tau)\widehat{w}(\xi_1,\tau_1)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi_2 d\tau_2| \\
&\quad = |\int <\xi_1>^s<\sigma_1>^{-\frac{1}{2}-}(\int_{|\xi_1|\le 2|\xi_2|}\widehat{u}(\xi_2,\tau_2)\widehat{n_\pm}(\xi,\tau)d\xi_2 d\tau_2)\cdot \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \cdot <\xi_1>^{-s}<\sigma_1>^{\frac{1}{2}+}\widehat{w}(\xi_1,\tau_1)d\xi_1 d\tau_1| \\
&\quad \le \| <\xi_1>^s<\sigma_1>^{-\frac{1}{2}-}\int_{|\xi_1|\le 2|\xi_2|}\widehat{u}(\xi_2,\tau_2)\widehat{n_\pm}(\xi,\tau)d\xi_2 d\tau_2\|_{L^2_{\xi_1\tau_1}}\|w\|_{X^{-s,\frac{1}{2}+}} \\
&\quad \le c\| <\sigma_1>^{-\frac{1}{2}-}\int_{|\xi_1|\le 2|\xi_2|}<\xi_2>^s\,\widehat{u}(\xi_2,\tau_2)\widehat{n_\pm}(\xi,\tau)d\xi_2 d\tau_2\|_{L^2_{\xi_1\tau_1}}\|w\|_{X^{-s,\frac{1}{2}+}} \\
&\quad = c\|(A^{s/2}u)n_\pm\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-}}\|w\|_{X^{-s,\frac{1}{2}+}} \\
&\quad \le c\|A^{s/2}u\|_{L^2_t H_x^{-\sigma,2}}\|n_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}}\|w\|_{X^{-s,\frac{1}{2}+}} \\
&\quad \le c\|u\|_{X^{s-\sigma,0}}\|n_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}}\|w\|_{X^{-s,\frac{1}{2}+}}
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of B_2:
Define

$$\widehat{v_2} := <\sigma_2>^{\frac{1}{2}+}\widehat{u}\,,\ \widehat{v} := <\xi>^{s-\frac{1}{2}}<\sigma_\pm>^{\frac{1}{2}+}\widehat{n_\pm}\,,\ \widehat{v_1} := <\xi_1>^{-s}<\sigma_1>^{\frac{1}{2}+}\widehat{w}$$

so that

$$\|u\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} = \|v_2\|_{L^2_{xt}}\,,\ \|n_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{s-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}+}} = \|v\|_{L^2_{xt}}\,,\ \|w\|_{X^{-s,\frac{1}{2}+}} = \|v_1\|_{L^2_{xt}}$$

In B_2 we have

$$\frac{1}{2}|\xi_1| \le |\xi_1| - |\xi_2| \le |\xi| \le |\xi_1| + |\xi_2| \le \frac{3}{2}|\xi_1|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
z_\pm &:= |\xi_1|^2 - |\xi_2|^2 \mp |\xi| = (\sigma_1 - \tau_1) - (\sigma_2 - \tau_2) + (\tau - \sigma_\pm) \\
&= \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_\pm + \tau_2 - \tau_1 + \tau = \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_\pm
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Big||\xi_1|^2 - |\xi_2|^2 \mp |\xi|\Big| &\geq |\xi_1|^2 - |\xi_2|^2 - |\xi| \geq |\xi_1|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi_1|^2 - \frac{3}{2}|\xi_1| \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4}|\xi_1|^2 - \frac{3}{8}|\xi_1|^2 - 10 = \frac{3}{8}|\xi_1|^2 - 10 \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\frac{3}{8}|\xi_1|^2 \leq \Big||\xi_1|^2 - |\xi_2|^2 \mp |\xi|\Big| + 10 \leq |\sigma_1| + |\sigma_2| + |\sigma_\pm| + 10$$

and

$$< \xi_1 >^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c \left(< \sigma_1 >^{\frac{1}{4}} + < \sigma_2 >^{\frac{1}{4}} + < \sigma_\pm >^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{B_2} \int \widehat{u}(\xi_2, \tau_2) \widehat{n_\pm}(\xi, \tau) \widehat{w}(\xi_1, \tau_1) d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi_2 d\tau_2 \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{B_2} \int \frac{\widehat{v_2}\widehat{v}\widehat{v_1} < \xi_1 >^s}{< \sigma_2 >^{\frac{1}{2}+} < \sigma_\pm >^{\frac{1}{2}+} < \xi >^{s-\frac{1}{2}} < \sigma_1 >^{\frac{1}{2}+}} d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi_2 d\tau_2 \right| \\ &\leq \int\int \frac{|\widehat{v_2}\widehat{v}\widehat{v_1}| < \xi_1 >^{\frac{1}{2}}}{< \sigma_2 >^{\frac{1}{2}+} < \sigma_\pm >^{\frac{1}{2}+} < \sigma_1 >^{\frac{1}{2}+}} d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi_2 d\tau_2 \\ &\leq \int\int \frac{|\widehat{v_2}\widehat{v}\widehat{v_1}| \left(< \sigma_1 >^{\frac{1}{4}} + < \sigma_2 >^{\frac{1}{4}} + < \sigma_\pm >^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)}{< \sigma_2 >^{\frac{1}{2}+} < \sigma_\pm >^{\frac{1}{2}+} < \sigma_1 >^{\frac{1}{2}+}} d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi_2 d\tau_2 \\ &\leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int\int \frac{|\widehat{v}\widehat{v_1}\widehat{v_2}|}{< \sigma_\pm >^{\frac{1}{4}+} < \sigma_1 >^{\frac{1}{2}+} < \sigma_2 >^{\frac{1}{2}+}} d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi_2 d\tau_2 \\ I_2 &= \int\int \frac{|\widehat{v}\widehat{v_1}\widehat{v_2}|}{< \sigma_\pm >^{\frac{1}{2}+} < \sigma_1 >^{\frac{1}{4}+} < \sigma_2 >^{\frac{1}{2}+}} d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi_2 d\tau_2 \\ I_3 &= \int\int \frac{|\widehat{v}\widehat{v_1}\widehat{v_2}|}{< \sigma_\pm >^{\frac{1}{2}+} < \sigma_1 >^{\frac{1}{2}+} < \sigma_2 >^{\frac{1}{4}+}} d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi_2 d\tau_2 \end{aligned}$$

Our aim is to estimate each of these integrals by

$$c\|v\|_{L^2_{xt}} \|v_1\|_{L^2_{xt}} \|v_2\|_{L^2_{xt}} = c\|n_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{s-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}+}} \|u\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|w\|_{X^{-s,\frac{1}{2}+}}$$

These estimates together with the estimate of B_1 imply the claimed results.
I_2 and I_3 can be treated in exactly the same way, so we are left with I_1 and I_2.
Estimate of I_1:
By Hölder's inequality we get

$$I_1 \leq \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(< \sigma_\pm >^{-\frac{1}{4}-} |\widehat{v}|)\|_{L^4_t L^2_x} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(< \sigma_i >^{-\frac{1}{2}-} |\widehat{v_i}|)\|_{L_t^{8/3} L_x^4}$$

Using Strichartz' inequality for the Schrödinger equation we get from (8) with $f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(< \sigma_i >^{-\frac{1}{2}-} |\widehat{v_i}|)$:

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(<\sigma_i>^{-\frac{1}{2}-} |\widehat{v_i}|)\|_{L_t^{8/3}L_x^4} \le c\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(<\sigma_i>^{-\frac{1}{2}-} |\widehat{v_i}|)\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} = \|v_i\|_{X^{0,0}} = \|v_i\|_{L^2_{xt}}$$

Similarly using the trivial estimate $\|e^{\pm itA^{1/2}}u_0\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \le c\|u_0\|_{L_x^2}$ we get $\|w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \le c\|w\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}$. Interpolating with $\|w\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} = \|w\|_{X_\pm^{0,0}}$ we arrive at $\|w\|_{L_t^4 L_x^2} \le c\|w\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{4}+}}$. With $w := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(< \sigma_\pm >^{-\frac{1}{4}-} |\widehat{v}|)$ this implies

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(< \sigma_\pm >^{-\frac{1}{4}-} |\widehat{v}|)\|_{L_t^4 L_x^2} \le c\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(< \sigma_\pm >^{-\frac{1}{4}-} |\widehat{v}|)\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{4}+}} = \|v\|_{X_\pm^{0,0}} = \|v\|_{L^2_{xt}}$$

Thus I_1 is estimated in the desired way.
Estimate of I_2:
We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \quad \le \quad & \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(< \sigma_\pm >^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} |\widehat{v}|)\|_{L_t^{\infty-} L_x^2} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(< \sigma_1 >^{-\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon} |\widehat{v_1}|)\|_{L_t^{2+} L_x^{3+}} \cdot \\ & \cdot \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(< \sigma_2 >^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} |\widehat{v_2}|)\|_{L_t^{2+} L_x^{6-}} \end{aligned}$$

Now, as above, $\|w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \le c\|w\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}$. Interpolating with $\|w\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} = \|w\|_{X_\pm^{0,0}}$ we get $\|w\|_{L_t^{\infty-} L_x^2} \le c\|w\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}$. With $w := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(< \sigma_\pm >^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} |\widehat{v}|)$ this implies

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(<\sigma_\pm>^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} |\widehat{v}|)\|_{L_t^{\infty-} L_x^2} \le c\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(<\sigma_\pm>^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} |\widehat{v}|)\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \le c\|v\|_{X_\pm^{0,0}} = c\|v\|_{L^2_{xt}}$$

Using Strichartz' estimate for the Schrödinger equation we get from (8):

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(< \sigma_2 >^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} |\widehat{v_2}|)\|_{L_t^{2+} L_x^{6-}} \le c\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(< \sigma_2 >^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} |\widehat{v_2}|)\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \le c\|v_2\|_{L^2_{xt}}$$

and, finally, from $\|f\|_{L_t^{2+} L_x^{6-}} \le c\|f\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}$ and the trivial identity $\|f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} = \|f\|_{X^{0,0}}$ we get by interpolation $\|f\|_{L_t^{2+} L_x^{3+}} \le c\|f\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{4}+}}$.
With $f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(< \sigma_1 >^{-\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon} |\widehat{v_1}|)$ this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(< \sigma_1 >^{-\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon} |\widehat{v_1}|)\|_{L_t^{2+} L_x^{3+}} & \le c\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(< \sigma_1 >^{-\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon} |\widehat{v_1}|)\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{4}+}} \\ & \le c\|v_1\|_{X^{0,0}} = c\|v_1\|_{L^2_{xt}} \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

2 Local existence and uniqueness

The system (1),(2),(3) is transformed into a system of first order in t in the usual way. Defining $A := -\Delta + 1$ and

$$\phi_\pm := \phi \pm iA^{-\frac{1}{2}}\phi_t$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \frac{1}{2}(\phi_+ + \phi_-) && (13) \\ 2iA^{-\frac{1}{2}}\phi_t &= \phi_+ - \phi_- && (14) \end{aligned}$$

and the equivalent system is

$$\begin{aligned} i\psi_t + \Delta\psi &= -\frac{1}{2}(\phi_+ + \phi_-)\psi && (15) \\ i\phi_{\pm t} \mp A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi_\pm &= \mp A^{-\frac{1}{2}}(|\psi|^2) && (16) \\ \psi(0) = \psi_0 \, , \, \phi_\pm(0) &= \phi_0 \pm iA^{-\frac{1}{2}}\phi_1 =: \phi_{0\pm} && (17) \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding system of integral equations reads as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &= e^{it\Delta}\psi_0 + i\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}\frac{1}{2}(\phi_+(s) + \phi_-(s))\psi(s)\, ds && (18) \\ \phi_\pm(t) &= e^{\mp itA^{\frac{1}{2}}}\phi_{0\pm} \pm i\int_0^t e^{\mp i(t-s)A^{\frac{1}{2}}}A^{-\frac{1}{2}}(|\psi(s)|^2)\, ds && (19) \end{aligned}$$

We always assume $t \in I = [0, |I|]$. In this case we could, whenever helpful, place a factor $\psi_1(t)$ in front of the first terms on the right hand side and a factor $\psi_{|I|}(t)$ in front of any of the integrals without changing the equations at all. Here $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ is a nonnegative cutoff function with $\psi(t) = 0$ if $|t| \geq 2$, $\psi(t) = 1$ if $|t| \leq 1$ and $\psi_\delta(t) := \psi(\frac{t}{\delta})$.
The decisive estimates for the nonlinearities follow from the corresponding results for the Zakharov system in [17] as follows.

Lemma 2.1 *The estimate*

$$\| |\psi|^2 \|_{X_\pm^{m-1,-\frac{1}{2}+}} \leq c \|\psi\|^2_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}} \tag{20}$$

holds, provided $s \geq 0\, ,\, 2s \geq m-1\, ,\, s > m-2$.

Proof: follows from the proof of [17], Lemma 3.5 with $l = m-2$, $k = s$, $c = \frac{1}{2}-$, $b_1 = \frac{1}{2}+$.

Lemma 2.2 *Let* $m \geq 0\, ,\, s < m+1$. *Then the following estimates hold for* $\epsilon > 0$*:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_\pm\psi\|_{X^{s,-\frac{1}{2}+}} &\leq c\|\phi_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}}\|\psi\|_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}} && (21) \\ \|\phi_\pm\psi\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon}} &\leq c\|\phi_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|\psi\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} && (22) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: [17], Lemma 3.4.

The following local wellposedness result is a direct consequence of (20) and (21).

Theorem 2.1 *Let s and m satisfy* $s, m \geq 0\, ,\, m-2 < s < m+1\, ,\, 2s \geq m-1$. *Then the system (1),(2),(3) with initial data*

$$(\psi_0, \phi_0, \phi_1) \in H^{s,2}(\mathbf{R}^3) \times H^{m,2}(\mathbf{R}^3) \times H^{m-1,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)$$

has a unique local solution

$$(u,\phi,\phi_t)$$
$$\in X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T] \times (X_+^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T] + X_-^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T]) \times (X_+^{m-1,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T] + X_-^{m-1,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T])$$

We also have

$$u \in C^0([0,T],H^{s,2}(\mathbf{R}^3))\,,\ \phi \in C^0([0,T],H^{m,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)) \cap C^1([0,T],H^{m-1,2}(\mathbf{R}^3))$$

Proof: as in [17].
Remark: Especially the range $0 \le s \le 1$, $0 < m \le 1$ is admissible as well as $s = m = 0$.

3 Energy bounds and decomposition of data

It is well known that the following conservation laws hold for the system (1),(2), (3):

$$\|\psi(t)\| =: M(\psi) =: M \tag{23}$$
$$\|\nabla\psi(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(t)\|^2 + \|\phi_t(t)\|^2) - \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} |\psi(t)|^2\phi(t)\,dx =: E =: E(\psi,\phi,\phi_t) \tag{24}$$

By Gagliardo-Nirenberg we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} |\psi|^2\phi dx\right| &\le \|\phi\|_{L^6}\|\psi\|^2_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}} \le c\|\nabla\phi\|\|\nabla\psi\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\psi\|^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\le \frac{1}{4}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\psi\|^2 + c_1\|\psi\|^6 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

This implies

$$\|\nabla\psi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(t)\|^2 + \|\phi_t(t)\|^2) \le E + \frac{1}{4}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\psi(t)\|^2 + c_1M^6 \tag{26}$$

consequently

$$\|\nabla\psi(t)\|^2 \le 2(E + c_1M^6) \tag{27}$$
$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(t)\|^2 + \|\phi_t(t)\|^2 \le 4(E + c_1M^6) \tag{28}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\psi,\phi,\phi_t) &\le \|\nabla\psi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(t)\|^2 + \|\phi_t\|^2) + \left|\int |\psi|^2\phi\,dx\right| \\ &\le \frac{3}{2}\|\nabla\psi\|^2 + \frac{3}{4}(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(t)\|^2 + \|\phi_t\|^2) + c_1\|\psi\|^6 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Consider now data

$$\psi_0 \in H^{s,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)\,,\ \phi_0 \in H^{m,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)\,,\ \phi_1 \in H^{m-1,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)$$

with $0 \le s,m \le 1$.
We split these data into sums as follows:

$$\psi_0 = \psi_{01} + \psi_{02}\,,\ \phi_0 = \phi_{01} + \phi_{02}\,,\ \phi_1 = \phi_{11} + \phi_{12}$$

where, for $N \geq 1$,

$$\psi_{01} := \int_{|\xi| \leq N} e^{i<x,\xi>} \widehat{\psi_0}(\xi)\, d\xi$$

and ϕ_{01} , ϕ_{11} are defined in the same way.
One easily shows

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_{01}\|_{H^{l,2}} &\leq cN^{l-s}\|\psi_0\|_{H^{s,2}} \quad \text{for } l \geq s \quad , \quad \|\psi_{01}\| \leq \|\psi_0\| \\ \|\psi_{02}\|_{H^{l,2}} &\leq cN^{l-s} \qquad \text{for } l \leq s \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{01}\|_{H^{l,2}} &\leq cN^{l-m}\|\phi_0\|_{H^{m,2}} \quad \text{for } l \geq m \quad , \quad \|\phi_{01}\| \leq \|\phi_0\| \\ \|\phi_{02}\|_{H^{l,2}} &\leq cN^{l-m} \qquad \text{for } l \leq m \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{11}\|_{H^{l-1,2}} &\leq cN^{l-m}\|\phi_1\|_{H^{m-1,2}} \quad \text{for } l \geq m \\ \|\phi_{12}\|_{H^{l-1,2}} &\leq cN^{l-m} \qquad \text{for } l \leq m \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the following global bounds for the solution $(\widetilde{\psi}, \widetilde{\phi})$ of (1),(2) with data $(\psi_{01}, \phi_{01}, \phi_{11})$ - known to exist by [1], Thme. 3 - by (29):

$$\begin{aligned} E(\widetilde{\psi}, \widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{\phi}_t) &\leq \frac{3}{2}\|\nabla\psi_{01}\|^2 + \frac{3}{4}(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi_{01}\|^2 + \|\phi_{11}\|^2) + c_1\|\psi_{01}\|^6 \\ &\leq \frac{\overline{c}}{2}(N^{2(1-s)} + N^{2(1-m)}) \leq \overline{c}N^{2(1-s\wedge m)} \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

and thus by (23),(27),(28):

$$\|\widetilde{\psi}(t)\| \leq M \tag{31}$$

$$\|\nabla\widetilde{\psi}(t)\| + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\widetilde{\phi}(t)\| + \|\widetilde{\phi}_t(t)\| \leq \widehat{c}N^{1-s\wedge m} \tag{32}$$

The corresponding global solution $(\widetilde{\psi}, \widetilde{\phi}_\pm)$ of (15),(16) with data ψ_{01}, $\phi_{0\pm 1} := \phi_{01} \pm iA^{-\frac{1}{2}}\phi_{11}$ therefore fulfills

$$\|\nabla\widetilde{\psi}(t)\| + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\widetilde{\phi}_\pm(t)\| \leq \widehat{c}N^{1-s\wedge m} \tag{33}$$

where $\widehat{c}$ depends essentially only on $\overline{c}$ (the initial energy) and M on the initial L^2-norm of $\widetilde{\psi}$.

4 Further bounds for the regular part

Consider the system of integral equations (18),(19) with $(\psi_0, \phi_{0\pm})$ replaced by $(\psi_{01}, \phi_{0\pm 1})$ and $(\psi, \phi_\pm)$ by $(\widetilde{\psi}, \widetilde{\phi}_\pm)$. Here $\phi_{0\pm 1} := \phi_{01} \pm iA^{-\frac{1}{2}}\phi_{11}$.
Let $0 \leq \frac{2}{\gamma} = 3(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\rho}) < 1$. By (9) we get

$$\|f\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)} \leq c\|f\|_{L_t^{\gamma'}(I,L_x^{\rho'}(\mathbf{R}^3))} \tag{34}$$

Interpolation with the trivial identity $\|f\|_{X^{0,0}(I)} = \|f\|_{L_t^2(I,L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^3))}$ gives

$$\|f\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \leq c\|f\|_{L_t^{\gamma'+}(I,L_x^{\rho'+}(\mathbf{R}^3))} \tag{35}$$

and also

$$\|f\|_{X^{1,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le c\|f\|_{L_t^{\gamma'+}(I,H_x^{1,\rho'+}(\mathbf{R}^3))} \tag{36}$$

We assume $|I| \le 1$. Applying this estimate to (18) we get by (6) and the remarks following (19):

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\le c(\|\psi_{01}\|_{H^{1,2}} + \|\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}\frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{\phi}_+(s)+\widetilde{\phi}_-(s))\widetilde{\psi}(s)\,ds\|_{X^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}) \\
&\le c(\|\psi_{01}\|_{H^{1,2}} + \|(\widetilde{\phi}_+ + \widetilde{\phi}_-)\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{1,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}) \\
&\le c(\|\psi_{01}\|_{H^{1,2}} + \|(\widetilde{\phi}_+ + \widetilde{\phi}_-)\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_t^{\gamma'+}(I,H_x^{1,\rho'+})})
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\gamma = 4-$, $\rho = 3+$, $\gamma' = \frac{4}{3}+$, $\rho' = \frac{3}{2}-$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\widetilde{\psi}\|_{H_x^{1,\rho'+}} &\le c(\|(\nabla\widetilde{\phi}_\pm)\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_x^{\rho'+}} + \|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\nabla\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_x^{\rho'+}}) + \|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_x^{\rho'+}}) \\
&\le c(\|\nabla\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{L_x^2}\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_x^6} + \|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{L_x^6}\|\nabla\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_x^2} + \|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{L_x^6}\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_x^2}) \\
&\le c\|\nabla\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{L_x^2}(\|\nabla\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_x^2} + \|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_x^2}) \\
&\le cN^{2(1-s\wedge m)}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le c(N^{1-s} + N^{2(1-s\wedge m)}|I|^{\frac{3}{4}-})$$

Assume now $|I| \le N^{-\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-}$. Then we conclude

$$\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le cN^{1-s\wedge m}$$

The same estimate also holds true, if we only assume $\|\psi_{01}\|_{H^{1,2}} \le cN^{1-s\wedge m}$ (important remark for the iteration process described later).
Next we estimate $\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}$. We again use (35) with $\gamma = 4-$, $\rho = 3+$ and conclude as before

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_t^{\gamma'+}(I,L_x^{\rho'+})} &\le \|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_t^\infty(I,L_x^2)}\|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{L_t^\infty(I,L_x^6)}|I|^{\frac{3}{4}-} \\
&\le cMN^{1-s\wedge m}N^{-(1-s\wedge m)} \\
&\le c
\end{aligned}$$

Applying this estimate to (18) we get as before

$$\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le c(\|\psi_{01}\|_{L_x^2} + 1) \le c$$

if $\|\psi_{01}\|_{L^2} \le c$.
In order to estimate $\|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}$ we start with (11) and get $\|f\|_{X_\pm^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)}$ $\le c\|f\|_{L_t^1(I,L_x^2)}$. Interpolation with the trivial identity $\|f\|_{X_\pm^{0,0}(I)} = \|f\|_{L_t^2(I,L_x^2)}$ gives

$$\|f\|_{X_\pm^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le c\|f\|_{L_t^{1+}(I,L_x^2)} \tag{37}$$

Applying this estimate to (19) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{1,\frac12+}(I)} &\le c(\|\phi_{0\pm1}\|_{H_x^{1,2}} + \|\int_0^t e^{\mp i(t-s)A^{\frac12}} A^{-\frac12}(|\widetilde{\psi}(s)|^2)ds\|_{X_\pm^{1,\frac12+}(I)}) \\
&\le c(\|\phi_{0\pm1}\|_{H_x^{1,2}} + \||\widetilde{\psi}|^2\|_{X_\pm^{0,-\frac12+}(I)}) \\
&\le c(\|\phi_{0\pm1}\|_{H_x^{1,2}} + \||\widetilde{\psi}|^2\|_{L_t^{1+}(I,L_x^2)})
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\||\widetilde{\psi}|^2\|_{L_x^2} \le c\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac12}\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_x^6}^{\frac32} \le c\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac32}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{1,\frac12+}(I)} &\le c(\|\phi_{0\pm1}\|_{H_x^{1,2}} + \|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_t^\infty(I,L_x^2)}^{\frac12}\|\nabla\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_t^\infty(I,L_x^2)}^{\frac32}|I|^{1-}) \\
&\le c(\|\phi_{0\pm1}\|_{H_x^{1,2}} + M^{\frac12}N^{\frac32(1-s\wedge m)}N^{-\frac43(1-s\wedge m)}) \\
&\le c(N^{1-m} + N^{\frac16(1-s\wedge m)})
\end{aligned}
$$

Especially we conclude

$$
\|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{1,\frac12+}(I)} \le cN^{1-s\wedge m}
$$

if $\|\phi_{0\pm1}\|_{H_x^{1,2}} \le cN^{1-s\wedge m}$, i.e. $\|\phi_{01}\|_{H_x^{1,2}} + \|\phi_{11}\|_{L_x^2} \le cN^{1-s\wedge m}$.

We summarize the results obtained so far in the following

Lemma 4.1 *If* $|I| \le N^{-\frac43(1-s\wedge m)-}$ *and*

$$
\|\psi_{01}\|_{L_x^2} \le c \quad , \quad \|\psi_{01}\|_{H_x^{1,2}} + \|\phi_{01}\|_{H_x^{1,2}} + \|\phi_{11}\|_{L_x^2} \le cN^{1-s\wedge m} \tag{38}
$$

i.e.

$$
\|\psi_{01}\|_{L_x^2} \le c \quad , \quad \|\psi_{01}\|_{H_x^{1,2}} + \|\phi_{0\pm1}\|_{L_x^2} \le cN^{1-s\wedge m} \tag{39}
$$

the following estimates hold:

$$
\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac12+}(I)} \le c \quad , \quad \|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{1,\frac12+}(I)} \le cN^{1-s\wedge m} \quad , \quad \|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{1,\frac12+}(I)} \le cN^{1-s\wedge m}
$$

Also the estimates (31),(32),(33) hold under these assumptions.

Important remark: Here and in the sequel the constants denoted by c depend essentially only on $\overline{c}$ in (30) (and therefore on $E(\widetilde{\psi},\widetilde{\phi},\widetilde{\phi}_t)$ and on M).

5 The part with rough data

Let (ψ,ϕ_+,ϕ_-) be a solution of (15),(16) with data $(\psi_0,\phi_{0+},\phi_{0-})$ and $(\widetilde{\psi},\widetilde{\phi}_+,\widetilde{\phi}_-)$ be the solution with data $(\psi_{01},\phi_{0+1},\phi_{0-1})$.
Define $\widehat{\psi} := \psi - \widetilde{\psi}$, $\widehat{\phi}_\pm := \phi_\pm - \widetilde{\phi}_\pm$. Then $(\widehat{\psi},\widehat{\phi}_+,\widehat{\phi}_-)$ fulfills

$$
i\widehat{\psi}_t + \Delta\widehat{\psi} = i\psi_t + \Delta\psi - i\widetilde{\psi}_t + \Delta\widetilde{\psi} = -\psi\phi + \widetilde{\psi}\widetilde{\phi}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{2}\psi(\phi_+ + \phi_-) + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\psi}(\widetilde{\phi}_+ + \widetilde{\phi}_-) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}(\widehat{\psi} + \widetilde{\psi})(\widehat{\phi}_+ + \widehat{\phi}_- + \widetilde{\phi}_+ + \widetilde{\phi}_-) + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\psi}(\widetilde{\phi}_+ + \widetilde{\phi}_-) \qquad (40) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\psi}(\widetilde{\phi}_+ + \widetilde{\phi}_-) - \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\psi}(\widehat{\phi}_+ + \widehat{\phi}_-) - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\psi}(\widehat{\phi}_+ + \widehat{\phi}_-) \\
&=: F_1 + F_2 + F_3 =: F
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
i\widehat{\phi}_{\pm t} \mp A^{1/2}\widehat{\phi}_\pm &= i\phi_{\pm t} \mp A^{1/2}\phi_\pm - i\widetilde{\phi}_{\pm t} \pm A^{1/2}\widetilde{\phi}_\pm \\
&= \pm A^{-1/2}(|\psi|^2) \mp A^{-1/2}(|\widetilde{\psi}|^2) \\
&= \pm A^{-1/2}((\widehat{\psi} + \widetilde{\psi})(\overline{\widehat{\psi}} + \overline{\widetilde{\psi}}) \mp A^{-1/2}(\widetilde{\psi}\overline{\widetilde{\psi}}) \qquad (41) \\
&= \pm A^{-1/2}(|\widehat{\psi}|^2) \pm A^{-1/2}(\overline{\widehat{\psi}}\widetilde{\psi}) \pm A^{-1/2}(\widehat{\psi}\overline{\widetilde{\psi}}) \\
&=: G_1 + G_2 + G_3 =: G
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\psi}(0) &= \psi(0) - \widetilde{\psi}(0) = \psi_0 - \psi_{01} = \psi_{02} \\
\widehat{\phi}_\pm(0) &= \phi_\pm(0) - \widetilde{\phi}_\pm(0) = \phi_{0\pm} - \phi_{0\pm 1} =: \phi_{0\pm 2}
\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding system of integral equations reads as follows:

$$\widehat{\psi}(t) = e^{it\Delta}\psi_{02} - i\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}F(s)ds =: e^{it\Delta}\psi_{02} + w(t) \qquad (42)$$

$$\widehat{\phi}_\pm(t) = e^{\mp itA^{1/2}}\phi_{0\pm 2} - i\int_0^t e^{\mp i(t-s)A^{1/2}}G(s)ds =: e^{\mp itA^{1/2}}\phi_{0\pm 2} + z_\pm(t) \qquad (43)$$

Here we have

$$\|\psi_{02}\|_{H_x^{s,2}} \le c \quad , \quad \|\psi_{02}\|_{L_x^2} \le cN^{-s} \qquad (44)$$

$$\|\phi_{0\pm 2}\|_{H_x^{m,2}} \le c \quad , \quad \|\phi_{0\pm 2}\|_{L_x^2} \le cN^{-m} \qquad (45)$$

We construct a solution of (42),(43) in some time interval I using the contraction mapping principle.
We define a mapping $S = (S_0, S_+, S_-)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
(S_0\widehat{\psi})(t) &:= e^{it\Delta}\psi_{02} + w(t) \\
(S_\pm\widehat{\phi}_\pm)(t) &:= e^{\mp itA^{1/2}}\phi_{0\pm 2} + z_\pm(t)
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.1 *For $1 \ge s, m > \frac{7}{10}$ and data $\psi_{02} \in H_x^{s,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)$, $\phi_{0\pm 2} \in H_x^{m,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)$ with (44),(45) and $\psi_{01}, \phi_{0\pm 1}$ as in (38),(39) the system of integral equations (42),(43) has a unique solution $(\widehat{\psi}, \widehat{\phi}_\pm) \in X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}(I) \times X_\pm^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)$ in the same interval I of the preceding section with $|I| \le N^{-\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\delta}$ $(\delta > 0)$, which fulfills*

1. *in the case $s \le m$*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\le cN^{-s} \le cN^{-s\wedge m} \\
\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\le c(N^{-\frac{5}{6}-\frac{1}{6}s} + N^{-m}) \le cN^{-s\wedge m}
\end{aligned}$$

2. in the case $m \le s$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\le c(N^{-\frac{1}{6}-\frac{5}{6}m} + N^{-s}) \le cN^{-s\wedge m} \\
\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\le cN^{-m} \le cN^{-s\wedge m}
\end{aligned}$$

and moreover in any case

$$\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le c \quad , \quad \|\widehat{\phi}\|_{X_\pm^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le c$$

Proof: We use Banach's fixed point theorem in the set Z, where

1. in the case $s \le m$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z \;:=\; & \{\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le c_0 \, , \, \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le c_0 N^{-s} \\
& \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le c_0 \, , \, \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le c_0(N^{-\frac{5}{6}-\frac{1}{6}s} + N^{-m})\}
\end{aligned}$$

2. in the case $m \le s$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z \;:=\; & \{\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le c_0 \, , \, \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le c_0(N^{-\frac{1}{6}-\frac{5}{6}m} + N^{-s}) \\
& \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le c_0 \, , \, \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le c_0 N^{-m}\}
\end{aligned}$$

   c_0 is chosen below.

Now take any $(\widehat{\psi}, \widehat{\phi}_+, \widehat{\phi}_-) \in Z$. In order to show $(S_0\widehat{\psi}, S_+\widehat{\phi}_+, S_-\widehat{\phi}_-) \in Z$ we first estimate $\|S_0\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}$.
By Lemma 1.5 with $\sigma = 0+$ we get by interpolation

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{\psi}\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X^{s+,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)} &\le c(\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{s-\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} + \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{s,0}(I)} \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}) \\
&\le c(\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}^{\frac{s-\frac{1}{2}}{m}+} \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}^{1-\frac{s-\frac{1}{2}}{m}-} \qquad (46) \\
&\qquad + \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}^{\frac{1}{2m}+} \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}^{1-\frac{1}{2m}-}) \\
&\le c(N^{-s\wedge m} N^{-s\wedge m(1-\frac{s-\frac{1}{2}}{m})+} + N^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{2}} N^{-s\wedge m(1-\frac{1}{2m}-)}) \\
&\le cN^{-\gamma(s,m)}
\end{aligned}$$

with $\gamma(s,m) > 0$ for $1 \ge s, m > \frac{1}{2}$.
Next, by Lemma 1.5 with $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}-$ and Lemma 4.1:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\widehat{\psi}\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X^{s+,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)} \\
&\quad \le c(\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{s-\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} + \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{s-\frac{1}{2}+,0}(I)} \|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le c(\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{s-\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} + \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{s-\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}|I|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}) \\
&\le c(\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} + \|\widehat{\psi}\|^{\frac{s-\frac{1}{2}}{s}+}_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\psi}\|^{1-\frac{s-\frac{1}{2}}{s}-}_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}|I|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}) \\
&\le c(N^{-s\wedge m}N^{1-s\wedge m} + N^{-s\wedge m(1-\frac{s-\frac{1}{2}}{s})-}N^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{2}}N^{1-s\wedge m}) \\
&\le c(N^{1-2(s\wedge m)} + N^{\frac{1}{3}-s\wedge m(\frac{1}{2s}+\frac{1}{3})}) \qquad (47) \\
&\le c(N^{1-2(s\wedge m)} + N^{\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3})}) \\
&\le cN^{-\gamma(s,m)}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly by Lemma 1.5 with $\sigma = 0+$ and Lemma 4.1:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\widetilde{\psi}\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X^{s+,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)} \\
&\quad\le c(\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{s-\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} + \|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{s,0}(I)}\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}) \\
&\quad\le c(\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|^{\frac{s-\frac{1}{2}}{m}+}_{X_\pm^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|^{1-\frac{s-\frac{1}{2}}{m}-}_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \\
&\qquad +\|\widetilde{\psi}\|^{1-s}_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widetilde{\psi}\|^{s}_{X^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}|I|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|^{\frac{1}{2m}+}_{X_\pm^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|^{1-\frac{1}{2m}-}_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}) \\
&\quad\le c(N^{-(s\wedge m)(1-\frac{s-\frac{1}{2}}{m})+} + N^{s(1-s\wedge m)}N^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{2}}N^{-(s\wedge m)(1-\frac{1}{2m}-)}) \\
&\quad\le c(N^{-(s\wedge m)(1-\frac{s-\frac{1}{2}}{m})+} + N^{s-\frac{2}{3}-(s\wedge m)(s+\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{2m})+})
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for $s, m > \frac{7}{10}$ the last exponent is
$\le s - \frac{2}{3} - \frac{7}{10}(s + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{5}{7}) = \frac{3}{10}s - \frac{2}{3} - \frac{7}{30} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{10}s - \frac{2}{5} \le \frac{3}{10} - \frac{2}{5} = -\frac{1}{10} < 0$
Thus

$$\|\widetilde{\psi}\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X^{s+,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)} \le cN^{-\gamma(s,m)} \qquad (48)$$

Now we interpolate between (47) and the following estimate, which follows from (22) and Lemma 4.1:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{\psi}\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon}(I)} &\le c(\|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(I)}\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(I)}) \\
&\le cN^{1-s\wedge m}N^{-s\wedge m} = cN^{1-2(s\wedge m)}
\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\|\widehat{\psi}\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X^{s,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le cN^{-\gamma(s,m)+} \qquad (49)$$

Similarly we treat (46) and (48) and conclude

$$\|F\|_{X^{s,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le cN^{-\gamma(s,m)+}$$

Therefore, with $c_0 \ge 2c\|\psi_{02}\|_{H^{s,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)}$, we have

$$\|S_0\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le c\|\psi_{02}\|_{H^{s,2}} + c\|F\|_{X^{s,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le \frac{c_0}{2} + cN^{-\gamma(s,m)+} \le c_0$$

if N is sufficiently large.
Next we estimate $\|S_0\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}$. Using (42) we have to control $\|F\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}$. By (35) with $\gamma' = \frac{4}{3}+$, $\rho' = \frac{3}{2}-$ and Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{\psi}\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\le c\|\widehat{\psi}\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{3}+}(I,L_x^{\frac{3}{2}})} \\
&\le c\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L_t^\infty(I,L_x^2)}\|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm\|_{L_t^\infty(I,L_x^6)}|I|^{\frac{3}{4}-} \\
&\le cN^{1-(s\wedge m)}N^{-(1-s\wedge m)-\frac{3}{4}\delta+}\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \qquad (50)\\
&\le cN^{-\frac{3}{4}\delta+}\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly by (35) with $\gamma' = 2$, $\rho' = \frac{6}{5}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{\psi}\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\le c\|\widehat{\psi}\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{L_t^{2+}(I,L_x^{\frac{6}{5}+})} \\
&\le c\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L_t^\infty(I,L_x^2)}\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{L_t^\infty(I,L_x^{3+})}|I|^{\frac{1}{2}-} \\
&\le c\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L_t^\infty(I,L_x^2)}\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{L_t^\infty(I,L_x^2)}^{1-\frac{1}{2m}-}\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{L_t^\infty(I,H_x^{m,2})}^{\frac{1}{2m}+}|I|^{\frac{1}{2}-} \\
&\le cN^{-(s\wedge m)(1-\frac{1}{2m})+}N^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{2}+}\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \qquad (51)\\
&\le cN^{-\frac{\delta}{2}+}\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally by (35) with $\gamma' = 2$, $\rho' = \frac{6}{5}$ again and Lemma 4.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{\psi}\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\le c\|\widetilde{\psi}\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{L_t^{2+}(I,L_x^{\frac{6}{5}+})} \\
&\le c\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_t^\infty(I,L_x^{3+})}\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{L_t^\infty(I,L_x^2)}|I|^{\frac{1}{2}-} \\
&\le c\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_t^\infty(I,L_x^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}-}\|\nabla\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L_t^\infty(I,L_x^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}+}\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{L_t^\infty(I,L_x^2)}|I|^{\frac{1}{2}-} \\
&\le cN^{\frac{1}{2}(1-s\wedge m)+}N^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{2}+}\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \qquad (52)\\
&= cN^{-\frac{1}{6}(1-s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{2}+}\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}
\end{aligned}$$

1. If now $s \le m$ we conclude from (52)

   $$\begin{aligned}
   \|\widetilde{\psi}\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\le cN^{-\frac{1}{6}(1-s)-\frac{\delta}{2}+}(N^{-\frac{5}{6}-\frac{1}{6}s} + N^{-m}) \\
   &= cN^{-1-\frac{\delta}{2}+} + N^{-m-\frac{1}{6}(1-s)-\frac{\delta}{2}+}
   \end{aligned}$$

   Now $-m-\frac{1}{6}(1-s) \le -s \Leftrightarrow \frac{7}{6}s \le m + \frac{1}{6}$. This is fulfilled because $\frac{7}{6}s \le \frac{7}{6}m = m + \frac{1}{6}m \le m + \frac{1}{6}$. Thus

   $$\|\widetilde{\psi}\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le cN^{-s-} \qquad (53)$$

2. If $m \le s$, we conclude from (52)

   $$\|\widetilde{\psi}\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \le cN^{-\frac{1}{6}(1-m)-\frac{\delta}{2}+}N^{-m} = cN^{-\frac{1}{6}-\frac{5}{6}m-\frac{\delta}{2}+} \qquad (54)$$

From (42),(50),(51),(53),(55) we conclude

1. in the case $s \le m$

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_0\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\le c\|\psi_{02}\|_{L^2} + cN^{-\frac{\delta}{2}+}\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} + cN^{-s-} \\ &\le cN^{-s} + cN^{-s-} \\ &\le \frac{c_0}{2}N^{-s} + cN^{-s-} \qquad (55) \\ &\le c_0 N^{-s} \end{aligned}$$

   if $c_0 \ge 2c$ and N sufficiently large.

2. Similarly for $m \le s$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_0\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\le cN^{-s} + cN^{-s-} + cN^{-\frac{1}{6}-\frac{5}{6}m-\frac{\delta}{2}+} \\ &\le c_0(N^{-s} + N^{-\frac{1}{6}-\frac{5}{6}m}) \qquad (56) \end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate $\|S_\pm\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}$. Assume

$$0 \le \frac{2}{\gamma} = 2(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\rho}) \quad and \quad l + 2(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\rho}) = 0 \qquad (57)$$

Then we get by (12):

$$\|f\|_{X_\pm^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-}} \le c\|f\|_{L_t^{\gamma'}(\mathbf{R},H_x^{-l,\rho'})}$$

Interpolating with the trivial identity $\|f\|_{X_\pm^{0,0}} = \|f\|_{L_t^2(\mathbf{R},L_x^2)}$ we have

$$\|f\|_{X_\pm^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}} \le c\|f\|_{L_t^{\gamma'+}(\mathbf{R},H_x^{-l+,\rho'+})}$$

and also

$$\|f\|_{X_\pm^{m-1,-\frac{1}{2}+}} \le c\|f\|_{L_t^{\gamma'+}(\mathbf{R},H_x^{m-1-l+,\rho'+})} \qquad (58)$$

In order to estimate G_2 we use (57) with $l = 0$, $\rho = 2$, $\gamma = \infty$ and get

$$\|\overline{\widehat{\psi}}\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^{1+}(I,H^{m-1,2})} \le c\|\overline{\widehat{\psi}}\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^{1+}(I,L^{\frac{6}{5-2m}})} \le c\left(\int_I \|\widehat{\psi}\|^{1+}_{L^{\frac{6}{5-2m}\widehat{p}}}\|\widetilde{\psi}\|^{1+}_{L^{\frac{6}{5-2m}\widehat{q}}}dt\right)^{1-}$$

Choosing $\frac{1}{\widehat{p}} = \frac{3-2s}{5-2m}$ (≤ 1, because $m - s \le 1$) and $\frac{1}{\widehat{q}} = \frac{2(1+s-m)}{5-2m}$ we have $H^{s,2} \subset L^{\frac{6}{5-2m}\widehat{p}}$ and $\frac{6}{5-2m}\widehat{q} = \frac{3}{1+s-m}$, thus by interpolation

$$\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^{\frac{6\widehat{q}}{5-2m}}} \le c\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^2}^{s-m+\frac{1}{2}}\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{H^{1,2}}^{\frac{1}{2}+m-s}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\overline{\widehat{\psi}}\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^{1+}(I,H^{m-1,2})} &\le c\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I,H^{s,2})}\|\widetilde{\psi}\|^{s-m+\frac{1}{2}}_{L^\infty(I,L^2)}\|\widetilde{\psi}\|^{\frac{1}{2}+m-s}_{L^\infty(I,H^{1,2})}|I|^{1-} \\ &\le cN^{(1-s\wedge m)(\frac{1}{2}+m-s)}N^{-\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\delta+} \\ &\le cN^{(1-s\wedge m)(-\frac{5}{6}+m-s)+} \qquad (59) \\ &\le cN^{-\gamma(s,m)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma(s,m) > 0$.
In the same way we estimate G_3. Concerning G_1 we use (57) with $l = m - \frac{3}{2}$, $\gamma = \frac{2}{\frac{3}{2}-m}$, $\rho = \frac{2}{m-\frac{1}{2}}$ and get by the embeddings $H^{s+,p} \subset B^{s,p} \subset H^{s-,p}$ (cf. [25], p. 180) and the definition of the Besov spaces $B^{s,p}$ (cf. [3], Thm. 6.2.5) (alternatively one could also use the so-called fractional Leibniz rule):

$$\begin{aligned}
\| |\widehat{\psi}|^2 \|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, \frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}+}} &\le c \| |\widehat{\psi}|^2 \|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon, \frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}+}} \\
=: &\ c(\| |\widehat{\psi}|^2 \|_{L^{\frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}+}} + (\int_0^\infty (\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon} \sup_{|h|\le\tau} \| |\widehat{\psi}|^2(\cdot+h) - |\widehat{\psi}|^2(\cdot) \|_{L^{\frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}+}})^2 \frac{d\tau}{\tau})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
\le &\ c(\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}\widehat{p}+}} \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}\widehat{q}+}} \\
&\quad + (\int_0^\infty (\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon} \sup_{|h|\le\tau} \| \widehat{\psi}(\cdot+h) - \widehat{\psi}(\cdot) \|_{L^{\frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}\widehat{q}+}})^2 \frac{d\tau}{\tau})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}\widehat{p}+}}) \\
\le &\ c \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}\widehat{p}+}} (\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}\widehat{q}+}} + \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon, \frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}\widehat{q}+}}) \\
\le &\ c \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}\widehat{p}+}} \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon, \frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}\widehat{q}+}}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\| |\widehat{\psi}|^2 \|_{L^{\frac{2}{\frac{1}{2}+m}+}(I, H^{\frac{1}{2}+, \frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}+})} \le c \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I, L^{\frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}\widehat{p}+})} \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I, H^{\frac{1}{2}+, \frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}\widehat{q}+})} |I|^{\frac{\frac{1}{2}+m}{2}-} \tag{60}$$

The Hölder exponents $\widehat{p}, \widehat{q}$ are chosen such that

$$H^{s,2} \subset L^{\frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}\widehat{p}+} \cap H^{\frac{1}{2}+, \frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}\widehat{q}+}$$

This requires $\frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{\widehat{p}} \cdot \frac{\frac{5}{2}-m}{2} > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{\widehat{q}} \cdot \frac{\frac{5}{2}-m}{2} > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s-\frac{1}{2}}{3}$ which can be fulfilled if $\frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m} > 1 = \frac{1}{\widehat{p}} + \frac{1}{\widehat{q}} > \frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m} \cdot (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{3} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s-\frac{1}{2}}{3}) = \frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m} \cdot (\frac{7}{6} - \frac{2}{3}s)$. The first inequality holds for $m > \frac{1}{2}$ and the second one, because for $m \le 1$, $s > \frac{5}{8}$: $\frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m} \cdot (\frac{7}{6} - \frac{2}{3}s) < \frac{2}{\frac{3}{2}}(\frac{7}{6} - \frac{5}{12}) = \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{9}{12} = 1$ Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\| |\widehat{\psi}|^2 \|_{L^{\frac{2}{\frac{1}{2}+m}+}(I, H^{\frac{1}{2}+, \frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}+})} &\le c \|\widehat{\psi}\|^2_{L^\infty(I, H^{s,2})} |I|^{\frac{\frac{1}{2}+m}{2}-} \\
&\le c N^{-\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)\frac{\frac{1}{2}+m}{2}} \le c N^{-\gamma(s,m)}
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

From (43),(58),(59),(61) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_\pm \widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\le c\|\phi_{0\pm2}\|_{H^{m,2}} + c\|G\|_{X_\pm^{m,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \\
&\le c\|\phi_{0\pm2}\|_{H^{m,2}} + c(\|\overline{\widehat{\psi}}\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^{1+}(I, H^{m-1,2-})} + \|\widehat{\psi}\overline{\widetilde{\psi}}\|_{L^{1+}(I, H^{m-1,2-})} \\
&\qquad + \| |\widehat{\psi}|^2 \|_{L^{\frac{2}{\frac{1}{2}+m}+}(I, H^{\frac{1}{2}+, \frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}-m}+})}) \\
&\le c\|\phi_{0\pm2}\|_{H^{m,2}} + cN^{-\gamma(s,m)} \\
&\le c_0
\end{aligned}$$

provided $c_0 \geq 2c\|\phi_{0\pm 2}\|_{H^{m,2}}$ and N is sufficiently large.
Finally we treat $\|S_\pm \widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}$. We use (37) and estimate by interpolation

$$\begin{aligned}
\||\widehat{\psi}|^2\|_{L^{1+}(I,H^{-1,2})} &\leq c\||\widehat{\psi}|^2\|_{L^{1+}(I,L^{\frac{6}{5}})} = c\|\widehat{\psi}\|^2_{L^{2+}(I,L^{\frac{12}{5}})} \\
&\leq c\|\widehat{\psi}\|^{2(1-\frac{1}{4s})}_{L^\infty(I,L^2)}\|\widehat{\psi}\|^{\frac{1}{2s}}_{L^\infty(I,H^{s,2})}|I|^{1-} \\
&\leq cN^{-2(s\wedge m)(1-\frac{1}{4s})}N^{-\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\delta} \leq cN^{-\frac{4}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{2s})-\delta} \\
&\leq cN^{-\frac{4}{3}-(\frac{2}{3}-1)-\delta} = cN^{-1-\delta} \qquad (62)
\end{aligned}$$

because $s,m \leq 1$, $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$.
Next we estimate by Lemma 4.1:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\overline{\widehat{\psi}}\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^{1+}(I,H^{-1,2})} \\
&\quad \leq c\|\widehat{\psi}\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^{1+}(I,L^{\frac{6}{5}})} \leq c\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I,L^2)}\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I,L^3)}|I|^{1-} \\
&\quad \leq c\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I,L^2)}\|\widetilde{\psi}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^\infty(I,L^2)}\|\widetilde{\psi}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^\infty(I,H^{1,2})}|I|^{1-} \\
&\quad \leq c\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I,L^2)}N^{\frac{1}{2}(1-s\wedge m)}N^{-\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\delta} \\
&\quad \leq c\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I,L^2)}N^{-\frac{5}{6}(1-s\wedge m)-\delta}
\end{aligned}$$

1. If $s \leq m$ we get

$$\|\overline{\widehat{\psi}}\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^{1+}(I,H^{-1,2})} \leq cN^{-s-\frac{5}{6}(1-s)-\delta} \leq cN^{-\frac{5}{6}-\frac{1}{6}s-\delta} \qquad (63)$$

2. If $m \leq s$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\overline{\widehat{\psi}}\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^{1+}(I,H^{-1,2})} &\leq c(N^{-\frac{1}{6}-\frac{5}{6}m} + N^{-s})N^{-\frac{5}{6}(1-m)-\delta} \\
&= c(N^{-1-\delta} + N^{-s-\frac{5}{6}+\frac{5}{6}m-\delta}) \leq cN^{-m-\delta} \qquad (64)
\end{aligned}$$

   because $-s-\frac{5}{6}+\frac{5}{6}m \leq -m \Leftrightarrow \frac{11}{6}m \leq s+\frac{5}{6}$. This is fulfilled, because $\frac{11}{6}m \leq \frac{11}{6}s = s+\frac{5}{6}s \leq s+\frac{5}{6}$.

The term $\|\widehat{\psi}\overline{\widetilde{\psi}}\|_{L^{1+}(I,H^{-1,2})}$ is estimated in the same way.
From (43),(62),(63),(43) we get

1. in the case $s \leq m$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_\pm \widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\leq c\|\phi_{0\pm 2}\|_{L^2} + cN^{-\frac{5}{6}-\frac{1}{6}s-} \\
&\leq cN^{-m} + cN^{-\frac{5}{6}-\frac{1}{6}s-} \\
&\leq c_0(N^{-m} + N^{-\frac{5}{6}-\frac{1}{6}s})
\end{aligned}$$

   if $c_0 \geq c$ and N sufficiently large.

2. Similarly, in the case $m \leq s$:

$$\|S_\pm \widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \leq c_0 N^{-m}$$

Summarizing, we have shown that S maps Z into itself. The contraction property uses exactly the same type of estimates and is therefore omitted.

The next estimates show that the nonlinear part w of (42) behaves better than the linear part.

Proposition 5.2 *Under the assumptions of Proposition 5.1 the following estimates hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{X^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\leq cN^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}(s\wedge m)} \\ \|w\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\leq cN^{\frac{2}{3}-\frac{5}{3}(s\wedge m)} \end{aligned}$$

if in addition we assume $s+m>\frac{3}{2}$.

Proof: By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\leq c\|F\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{4}-}(I)}|I|^{\frac{1}{4}-} \qquad (65) \\ &\leq c(\|\widetilde{\phi}_{\pm}\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{4}-}(I)} + \|\widehat{\phi}_{\pm}\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{4}-}(I)} + \|\widetilde{\phi}_{\pm}\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{4}-}(I)})|I|^{\frac{1}{4}-} \\ &\leq c(\|\widetilde{\phi}_{\pm}\|_{X_{\pm}^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} + \|\widehat{\phi}_{\pm}\|_{X_{\pm}^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \\ &\qquad +\|\widehat{\phi}_{\pm}\|_{X_{\pm}^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)})|I|^{\frac{1}{4}-} \\ &\leq c(N^{1-s\wedge m}N^{-s\wedge m} + N^{-s\wedge m}N^{-s\wedge m} + N^{-s\wedge m})N^{-\frac{1}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{4}-} \\ &\leq cN^{\frac{2}{3}-\frac{5}{3}(s\wedge m)} \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate $\|w\|_{X^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}$ we consider separately the cases $s\leq m$ and $m\leq s$.
Case $s\leq m$:
By Lemma 1.5 with $s=1+$ and $\sigma=\frac{1}{2}-$ we get by interpolation

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{\phi}_{\pm}\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{1+,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)} &\leq c(\|\widetilde{\phi}_{\pm}\|_{X_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} + \|\widetilde{\phi}_{\pm}\|_{X_{\pm}^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{\frac{1}{2}+,0}(I)}) \\ &\leq c(\|\widetilde{\phi}_{\pm}\|_{X_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \\ &\qquad +\|\widetilde{\phi}_{\pm}\|_{X_{\pm}^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\psi}\|^{1-\frac{1}{2s}-}_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\psi}\|^{\frac{1}{2s}+}_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}|I|^{\frac{1}{2}}) \qquad (66) \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{\frac{1}{2}+,0}(I)} &= \left(\int_I \|\widehat{\psi}(t)\|^2_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+,2}}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I,H^{\frac{1}{2}+,2})}|I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}|I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c\|\widehat{\psi}\|^{1-\frac{1}{2s}-}_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\psi}\|^{\frac{1}{2s}+}_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}|I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{\phi}_{\pm}\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{1+,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)} &\leq c(N^{1-s\wedge m}N^{-s} + N^{1-s\wedge m}N^{-s(1-\frac{1}{2s})+}N^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{2}+}) \\ &\leq c(N^{1-2s} + N^{1-s-s+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{3}+\frac{2}{3}s-\frac{\delta}{2}+}) \\ &\leq cN^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}s-\frac{\delta}{2}+} \end{aligned}$$

Next from Lemma 1.5 with $s = 1+$ and $\sigma = 0+$, Lemma 4.1 and interpolation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm \widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{1+,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)} \\
&\quad \le c(\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} + \|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{1,0}(I)} \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}) \\
&\quad \le c(\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|^{1-\frac{1}{2m}-}_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|^{\frac{1}{2m}+}_{X_\pm^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \\
&\qquad\quad + \|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|^{1-\frac{1}{2m}-}_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|^{\frac{1}{2m}+}_{X_\pm^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}) \\
&\quad \le c\big(N^{-(s\wedge m)(1-\frac{1}{2m})+} + N^{1-s\wedge m} N^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{2}+} N^{-(s\wedge m)(1-\frac{1}{2m})+}\big) \qquad (67) \\
&\quad \le c\big(N^{-(s\wedge m)(1-\frac{1}{2m})+} + N^{\frac{1}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{4}{3}-\frac{1}{2m})-\frac{\delta}{2}+}\big) \\
&\quad \le cN^{\frac{1}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{4}{3}-\frac{1}{2m})-\frac{\delta}{2}+} \\
&\quad \le cN^{\frac{1}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{4}{3}-\frac{1}{2(s\wedge m)})-\frac{\delta}{2}+} \\
&\quad = cN^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}(s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{2}+} \\
&\quad = cN^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}s-\frac{\delta}{2}+}
\end{aligned}$$

because $-(s\wedge m)(1-\frac{1}{2m}) < \frac{1}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{4}{3}-\frac{1}{2m}) \Leftrightarrow (s\wedge m)\frac{1}{3} < \frac{1}{3}$ which is fulfilled. Moreover by Lemma 1.5 with $s = 1+$ and $\sigma = m - \frac{1}{2}-$ and interpolation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm \widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{1+,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)} \\
&\quad \le c(\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|^{1-\frac{1}{2m}-}_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|^{\frac{1}{2m}+}_{X_\pm^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} + \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{\frac{3}{2}-m+,0}(I)} \|\widehat{\phi}_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)})
\end{aligned}$$

using

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{\frac{3}{2}-m+,0}(I)} &= \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^2_t(I,H_x^{\frac{3}{2}-m+,2})} \le \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty_t(I,H_x^{\frac{3}{2}-m+,2})} |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le c\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{\frac{3}{2}-m+,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \le c\|\widehat{\psi}\|^{1-\frac{\frac{3}{2}-m}{s}-}_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} \|\widehat{\psi}\|^{\frac{\frac{3}{2}-m}{s}+}_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} |I|^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

under our assumption $s + m > \frac{3}{2}$.
Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\widehat{\phi}_\pm \widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{1+,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)} \\
&\quad \le c\big(N^{-s} N^{-(s\wedge m)(1-\frac{1}{2m})+} + N^{-s(1-\frac{\frac{3}{2}-m}{s})+} N^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{2}}\big) \\
&\quad \le c\big(N^{-s} N^{-(s\wedge m)(1-\frac{1}{2(s\wedge m)})+} + N^{-s+\frac{3}{2}-m-\frac{2}{3}+\frac{2}{3}s-\frac{\delta}{2}+}\big) \\
&\quad \le c\big(N^{\frac{1}{2}-2s+} + N^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}s-\frac{\delta}{2}+}\big) \\
&\quad \le cN^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}s-\frac{\delta}{2}+}
\end{aligned}$$

Case $m \le s$:
From (66) we conclude

$$\|\widetilde{\phi}_\pm \widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{1+,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le c(N^{1-s\wedge m}N^{-s\wedge m}+N^{1-s\wedge m}N^{-s\wedge m(1-\frac{1}{2s})+}N^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{2}+})\\
&\le c(N^{1-2(s\wedge m)}+N^{\frac{1}{3}-(s\wedge m)(1+1-\frac{1}{2s}-\frac{2}{3})-\frac{\delta}{2}+})\\
&= c(N^{1-2(s\wedge m)}+N^{\frac{1}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{4}{3}-\frac{1}{2s})-\frac{\delta}{2}+})\\
&\le c(N^{1-2(s\wedge m)}+N^{\frac{1}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{4}{3}-\frac{1}{2(s\wedge m)})-\frac{\delta}{2}+})\\
&= c(N^{1-2(s\wedge m)}+N^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}(s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{2}+})\\
&\le cN^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}m-\frac{\delta}{2}+}
\end{aligned}
$$

because $1-2(s\wedge m)<\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}(s\wedge m)\Leftrightarrow 1<4(s\wedge m)$ which is fulfilled.
Next from (67):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\widehat{\phi}_{\pm}\widetilde{\psi}\|_{X^{1+,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)}\\
&\quad\le c(N^{-m(1-\frac{1}{2m})+}+N^{1-s\wedge m}N^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{2}+}N^{-m(1-\frac{1}{2m}+)})\\
&\quad\le c(N^{-m+\frac{1}{2}+}+N^{1-m-\frac{2}{3}+\frac{2}{3}m-m+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}+})\\
&\quad\le cN^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}m-\frac{\delta}{2}+}
\end{aligned}
$$

because $-m+\frac{1}{2}<\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}m\Leftrightarrow m<1$.
Next by Lemma 1.5 with $s=1+$, $\sigma=1-s+$ and interpolation, again using $s+m>\frac{3}{2}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\widehat{\phi}_{\pm}\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{1+,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)}\\
&\quad\le c(\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\phi}_{\pm}\|^{1-\frac{1}{2m}-}_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}_{\pm}(I)}\|\widehat{\phi}_{\pm}\|^{\frac{1}{2m}+}_{X^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}_{\pm}(I)}+\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{s,0}(I)}\|\widehat{\phi}_{\pm}\|_{X_{\pm}^{\frac{3}{2}-s+,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)})\\
&\quad\le c(\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\phi}_{\pm}\|^{1-\frac{1}{2m}-}_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}_{\pm}(I)}\|\widehat{\phi}_{\pm}\|^{\frac{1}{2m}+}_{X^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}_{\pm}(I)}+\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}|I|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\widehat{\phi}_{\pm}\|_{X_{\pm}^{\frac{3}{2}-s+,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)})\\
&\quad\le c(\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\|\widehat{\phi}_{\pm}\|^{1-\frac{1}{2m}-}_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}_{\pm}(I)}\|\widehat{\phi}_{\pm}\|^{\frac{1}{2m}+}_{X^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}_{\pm}(I)}\\
&\qquad\quad+\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}|I|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\widehat{\phi}_{\pm}\|^{1-\frac{\frac{3}{2}-s}{m}-}_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}_{\pm}(I)}\|\widehat{\phi}_{\pm}\|^{\frac{\frac{3}{2}-s}{m}+}_{X^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}_{\pm}(I)})\\
&\quad\le c(N^{-s\wedge m}N^{-m(1-\frac{1}{2m})+}+N^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{2}+}N^{-m(1-\frac{\frac{3}{2}-s}{m})+})\\
&\quad\le c(N^{\frac{1}{2}-2m+}+N^{-m+\frac{3}{2}-s-\frac{2}{3}+\frac{2}{3}m-\frac{\delta}{2}+})\\
&\quad\le c(N^{\frac{1}{2}-2m+}+N^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}m-\frac{\delta}{2}+})\\
&\quad\le cN^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}m-\frac{\delta}{2}+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Summarizing we arrive at

$$\|F\|_{X^{1+,-\frac{1}{2}-}(I)}\le cN^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}(s\wedge m)-\frac{\delta}{2}+} \tag{68}$$

Now by (65):

$$\|F\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{4}-}(I)}\le cN^{1-2(s\wedge m)} \tag{69}$$

Interpolating between (68) and (69) we arrive at

$$\|w\|_{X^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\le c\|F\|_{X^{1,-\frac{1}{2}+}(I)}\le cN^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}(s\wedge m)}$$

The proof is complete.

The next result shows that the nonlinear part $z_\pm$ of (43) also behaves better than the linear part.

Proposition 5.3 *Under the assumptions of Proposition 5.1 the following estimates hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_\pm\|_{L^\infty(I,H^{1,2})} &\leq cN^{-\frac{1}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{2s})} && (70)\\ \|z_\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(I)} &\leq cN^{-(s\wedge m)} && (71)\end{aligned}$$

Proof: The estimate (71) is already proven in Proposition 5.1.
In order to prove (70) we estimate by interpolation and Lemma 4.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\overline{\widehat{\psi}}\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^1(I,L^2)} &\leq \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I,L^3)}\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I,L^6)}|I| \\ &\leq c\|\widehat{\psi}\|^{1-\frac{1}{2s}}_{L^\infty(I,L^2)}\|\widehat{\psi}\|^{\frac{1}{2s}}_{L^\infty(I,H^{s,2})}\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I,H^{1,2})}|I| \\ &\leq cN^{-(s\wedge m)(1-\frac{1}{2s})}N^{1-s\wedge m}N^{-\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-\delta} && (72)\\ &\leq cN^{-\frac{1}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{2s})-\delta}\end{aligned}$$

The term $\|\widehat{\psi}\overline{\widetilde{\psi}}\|_{L^1(I,L^2)}$ is estimated in exactly the same way.
Finally we use Strichartz' inequality for the inhomogeneous Klein-Gordon equation $u_{tt}+Au=f$, $u(0)=u_t(0)=0$ (cf. [18]):

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(I,H^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^3))} \leq c\|f\|_{L^{\gamma'}(I,H^{-l,\rho'}(\mathbf{R}^3))}$$

which holds if $l+2(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\rho})=0$ and $\frac{1}{\gamma}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\rho}$. Choose $l=-s+$, $\rho=\frac{2}{1-s}-$, $\gamma=\frac{2}{s}-$, $\rho'=\frac{2}{1+s}+$, $\gamma'=\frac{2}{2-s}+$ and estimate using Besov norms as in (60) or alternatively by the fractional Leibniz rule:

$$\||\widehat{\psi}|^2\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-s}+}(I,H^{s-,\frac{2}{1+s}+})} \leq c\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I,L^{\frac{2}{1+s}\widehat{p}+})}\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I,H^{s,\frac{2}{1+s}\widehat{q}+})}|I|^{\frac{2-s}{2}-}$$

Choose $\widehat{p}=\frac{1+s}{s}+$, $\widehat{q}=1+s-$ and interpolate (for $s>\frac{3}{5}$):

$$\begin{aligned} \||\widehat{\psi}|^2\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-s}+}(I,H^{s-,\frac{2}{1+s}+})} &\leq c\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I,L^{\frac{2}{s}+})}\|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I,H^{s,2})}|I|^{\frac{2-s}{2}-} \\ &\leq c\|\widehat{\psi}\|^{\frac{5s-3}{2s}-}_{L^\infty(I,L^2)}\|\widehat{\psi}\|^{2-\frac{5s-3}{2s}+}_{L^\infty(I,H^{s,2})}|I|^{\frac{2-s}{2}-} \\ &\leq cN^{-(s\wedge m)\frac{5s-3}{2s}+}N^{-\frac{2-s}{2}\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-}\end{aligned}$$

Now we have $-(s\wedge m)\frac{5s-3}{2s}-\frac{2-s}{2}\cdot\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m) < -\frac{1}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{2s})$ if $-(s\wedge m)\frac{5s-3}{2s}-\frac{2}{3}(1-s\wedge m) < -\frac{1}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{2s}) \Leftrightarrow (s\wedge m)(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{7}{6}) < \frac{1}{3}$. This holds if $1-\frac{7}{6}(s\wedge m) = (s\wedge m)(\frac{1}{s\wedge m}-\frac{7}{6}) < \frac{1}{3} \Leftrightarrow \frac{4}{7} < s\wedge m$. This is fulfilled, because $\frac{7}{10} > \frac{4}{7}$. Thus the decisive estimate is (72), which directly leads to our claim (70).

6 The iteration process

In the preceding sections we constructed a solution of the problem (1),(2) with data (3) (ψ_0, ϕ_0, ϕ_1) in the time interval $I = [0, |I|]$ with $|I| = N^{-\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)-}$. Namely, if we define $\psi := \widehat{\psi} + \widetilde{\psi}$, $\phi_\pm := \widehat{\phi}_\pm + \widetilde{\phi}_\pm$ we see that (ψ, ϕ_+, ϕ_-) solves the system (15),(16) with initial conditions $\psi(0) = \psi_0$, $\psi_\pm(0) = \phi_{0\pm}$. This problem is equivalent to the original system (1),(2),(3).The initial data are transformed by $\phi_{0\pm} = \phi_0 \pm iA^{-1/2}\phi_1$ or, conversely, by $\phi_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{0+} + \phi_{0-})$, $\phi_1 = -\frac{i}{2}A^{1/2}(\phi_{0+} - \phi_{0-})$. In order to continue the solution of (15),(16) we take as new initial data $(\widetilde{\psi}(|I|) + w(|I|), \widetilde{\phi}_+(|I|) + z_+(|I|), \widetilde{\phi}_-(|I|) + z_-(|I|))$ instead of $(\psi_{01}, \phi_{0+1}, \phi_{0-1})$. When we have shown that this problem has a solution $(\widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}_+, \widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}_-)$ in the interval $[|I|, 2|I|]$ with equal length $|I|$ we insert this solution into the system (40),(41) in place of $(\widetilde{\psi}, \widetilde{\phi}_+, \widetilde{\phi}_-)$ and solve this problem with data $(e^{i|I|\Delta}\psi_{02}, e^{-i|I|A^{1/2}}\phi_{0+2}, e^{i|I|A^{1/2}}\phi_{0-2})$ in $[|I|, 2|I|]$.The solution of the original system (1),(2) corresponding to $(\widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}_+, \widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}_-)$, denoted by $(\widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}})$, then obviously has the following initial data (using (13),(14)):

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}}(|I|) &= \widetilde{\psi}(|I|) + w(|I|) \\
\widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}(|I|) &= \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}_+(|I|) + \widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}_-(|I|)) = \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{\phi}_+(|I|) + z_+(|I|) + \widetilde{\phi}_-(|I|) + z_-(|I|)) \\
&= \widetilde{\phi}(|I|) + \frac{1}{2}(z_+(|I|) + z_-(|I|)) =: \widetilde{\phi}(|I|) + z(|I|) \\
\widetilde{\widetilde{\phi_t}}(|I|) &= -\frac{i}{2}A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}_+(|I|) - \widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}_-(|I|)) \\
&= -\frac{i}{2}A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\widetilde{\phi}_+(|I|) + z_+(|I|) - \widetilde{\phi}_-(|I|) - z_-(|I|)) \\
&= \widetilde{\phi}_t(|I|) - \frac{i}{2}A^{\frac{1}{2}}(z_+(|I|) - z_-(|I|)) =: \widetilde{\phi}_t(|I|) + z'(|I|)
\end{aligned}$$

Adding up the solutions, we get a solution of the original problem in $[|I|, 2|I|]$ as before. This defines an iteration process. At each step we have to ensure the same bounds on the initial data which were used in the first step. The replacement of $(\psi_{02}, \phi_{0+2}, \phi_{0-2})$ by $(e^{i|I|\Delta}\psi_{02}, e^{-i|I|A^{1/2}}\phi_{0+2}, e^{i|I|A^{1/2}}\phi_{0-2})$ is harmless, because the H^s-norms remain unchanged. The bounds on the data are controlled by the energy and the L^2-conservation law (see (23),(27),(28)). Thus we have to estimate these quantities independently of the iteration step. This is easy for the L^2-conserved quantity, the increment when replacing ψ_{01} by $\widetilde{\psi}(|I|) + w(|I|)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big| \|\widetilde{\psi}(|I|) + w(|I|)\|_{L^2_x} - \|\psi_{01}\|_{L^2_x} \Big| &= \Big| \|\widetilde{\psi}(|I|) + w(|I|)\|_{L^2_x} - \|\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)\|_{L^2_x} \Big| \\
&\le \|w(|I|)\|_{L^2_x} \le c_2 N^{\frac{2}{3} - \frac{5}{3}(s\wedge m)}
\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 5.2, where $c_2 = c_2(\overline{c}, M)$.

The number of iteration steps in order to reach the given time T is $\frac{T}{|I|} = TN^{\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)+}$. This means that in order to get uniform control over the L^2-norm of $\widetilde{\psi}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}}, ...$ we have to ensure that $c_2 T N^{\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)+} N^{\frac{2}{3}-\frac{5}{3}(s\wedge m)} < M$, where

$c_2 = c_2(2\overline{c}, 2M)$ (remark that initially the L^2-norm of $\widetilde{\psi}$ was bounded by M). This is fulfilled for N sufficiently large if $\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)+\frac{2}{3}-\frac{5}{3}(s\wedge m) < 0 \Leftrightarrow s\wedge m > \frac{2}{3}$, which is fulfilled.
Concerning the increment of the energy, we define $z = \frac{1}{2}(z_+ + z_-)$ and estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
&|E(\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)+w(|I|), \widetilde{\phi}(|I|)+z(|I|), \widetilde{\phi}_t(|I|)+z'(|I|)) - E(\psi_{01}, \phi_{01}, \phi_{11})| \\
= \;& |E(\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)+w(|I|), \widetilde{\phi}(|I|)+z(|I|), \widetilde{\phi}_t(|I|)+z'(|I|)) - E(\widetilde{\psi}(|I|), \widetilde{\phi}(|I|), \widetilde{\phi}_t(|I|))| \\
\leq \;& 2(\|\nabla\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)\| + \|\nabla w(|I|)\|)\|\nabla w(|I|)\| + (\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\widetilde{\phi}(|I|)\| + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}z(|I|)\|)\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}z(|I|)\| \\
&\quad +(\|\widetilde{\phi}_t(|I|)\| + \|z'(|I|)\|)\|z'(|I|)\| + \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} |z(|I|)|\,|\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)+w(|I|)|^2 dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} |\widetilde{\phi}(|I|)| \, \left||\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)+w(|I|)|^2 - |\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)|^2\right| dx
\end{aligned}$$

The first term is bounded by Proposition 5.2 and (33) by

$$c(N^{1-s\wedge m} + N^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}(s\wedge m)})N^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}(s\wedge m)} \leq cN^{1-s\wedge m}N^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}(s\wedge m)} = cN^{\frac{11}{6}-\frac{7}{3}(s\wedge m)}$$

The second and third term are bounded by

$$c(N^{1-s\wedge m} + N^{-\frac{1}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{2s})})N^{-\frac{1}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{2s})}$$

using (32) and (70). Now $1-s\wedge m \geq -\frac{1}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{2s}) \Leftrightarrow \frac{4}{3} \geq (s\wedge m)(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2s})$ which is fulfilled for $1 \geq s, m \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Consequently the following bound holds for the second and third term: $cN^{\frac{2}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{5}{3}-\frac{1}{2s})}$.
Using (71) and Proposition 5.2 the forth term is estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} |z(|I|)||\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)+w(|I|)|^2 dx \;&\leq\; c\|z(|I|)\|_{L^2}(\|\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)\|_{L^4}^2 + \|w(|I|)\|_{L^4}^2) \\
&\leq\; c\|z(|I|)\|_{L^2}(\|\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)\|_{H^{1,2}}^2 + \|w(|I|)\|_{H^{1,2}}^2) \\
&\leq\; cN^{-(s\wedge m)}(N^{2(1-s\wedge m)} + N^{2(\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}(s\wedge m))}) \\
&\leq\; cN^{-(s\wedge m)}N^{2(1-s\wedge m)} \\
&\leq\; cN^{2-3(s\wedge m)}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally the fifth term is estimated by (32), Prop. 5.2 and (31) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} |\widetilde{\phi}(|I|)| \, \left||\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)+w(|I|)|^2 - |\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)|^2\right| dx \\
\leq \;& \|\widetilde{\phi}(|I|)\|_{L^6}\|w(|I|)\|_{L^2}(\|\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)\|_{L^3} + \|w(|I|)\|_{L^3}) \\
\leq \;& \|\nabla\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)\|_{L^2}\|w(|I|)\|_{L^2}(\|\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\widetilde{\psi}(|I|)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|w(|I|)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla w(|I|)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
\leq \;& cN^{1-s\wedge m}N^{\frac{2}{3}-\frac{5}{3}(s\wedge m)}(N^{\frac{1}{2}(1-s\wedge m)} + N^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{5}{3}(s\wedge m))}N^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{5}{6}-\frac{4}{3}(s\wedge m))}) \\
= \;& cN^{1-s\wedge m}N^{\frac{2}{3}-\frac{5}{3}(s\wedge m)}(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}(s\wedge m)} + N^{\frac{3}{4}-\frac{3}{2}(s\wedge m)}) \\
\leq \;& cN^{1-s\wedge m}N^{\frac{2}{3}-\frac{5}{3}(s\wedge m)}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}(s\wedge m)} \\
= \;& cN^{\frac{13}{6}-\frac{19}{6}(s\wedge m)}
\end{aligned}$$

because $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}(s\wedge m) > \frac{3}{4}-\frac{3}{4}(s\wedge m)$ if $s\wedge m > \frac{1}{4}$.
Now, the forth term behaves better than the first one, because

$2-3(s\wedge m) < \frac{11}{6}-\frac{7}{3}(s\wedge m) \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{6} < \frac{2}{3}(s\wedge m)$ which holds for $s\wedge m > \frac{1}{4}$.
Similarly, the fifth term is harmless compared to the first one, because
$\frac{13}{6}-\frac{19}{6}(s\wedge m) < \frac{11}{6}-\frac{7}{3}(s\wedge m) \Leftrightarrow \frac{2}{6} < \frac{5}{6}(s\wedge m) \Leftrightarrow s\wedge m > \frac{2}{5}$.
Thus the decisive terms are the first, second and third one.
Concerning the first term the condition that ensures uniform control of the energy of $(\widetilde{\psi},\widetilde{\phi}),(\widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}},\widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}),\ldots$ is the following:

$$c_3 T N^{\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)+} N^{\frac{11}{6}-\frac{7}{3}(s\wedge m)} < \overline{c} N^{2(1-s\wedge m)}$$

where $c_3 = c_3(2\overline{c},2M)$ (recall that the energy initially is bounded by $\overline{c}N^{2(1-s\wedge m)}$).
This is satisfied for N sufficiently large provided
$\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)+\frac{11}{6}-\frac{7}{3}(s\wedge m) < 2(1-s\wedge m) \Leftrightarrow \frac{7}{6} < \frac{5}{3}(s\wedge m) \Leftrightarrow s\wedge m > \frac{7}{10}$. Here is the point where the decisive bound on $s\wedge m$ appears.
Concerning the second and third term the following condition has to be satisfied:

$$c_3 T N^{\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)+} N^{\frac{2}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{5}{3}-\frac{1}{2s})} < \overline{c} N^{2(1-s\wedge m)}$$

This requires
$\frac{4}{3}(1-s\wedge m)+\frac{2}{3}-(s\wedge m)(\frac{5}{3}-\frac{1}{2s}) < 2(1-s\wedge m) \Leftrightarrow 0 < (s\wedge m)(1-\frac{1}{2s}) \Leftrightarrow s > \frac{1}{2}$.
The uniform control of the energy implies by (27),(28) uniform control of the L^2-norm of $(\nabla\widetilde{\psi}, A^{\frac{1}{2}}\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{\phi}_t)$,$(\nabla\widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}}, A^{\frac{1}{2}}\widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}_t),\ldots$.

We have proven

Theorem 6.1 *Let* $1 \geq s,m > \frac{7}{10}$, $s+m > \frac{3}{2}$. *The system (1),(2),(3) with data*

$$(\psi_0,\phi_0,\phi_1) \in H^{s,2}(\mathbf{R}^3) \times H^{m,2}(\mathbf{R}^3) \times H^{m-1,2}(\mathbf{R}^3)$$

has a unique global solution. More precisely, for any $T>0$ *there exists a unique solution*

$$(\psi,\phi,\phi_t) \in X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T] \times \widetilde{X}^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T] \times \widetilde{X}^{m-1,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T].$$

This solution satisfies

$$(\psi,\phi,\phi_t) \in C^0([0,T], H^{s,2}(\mathbf{R}^3) \times H^{m,2}(\mathbf{R}^3) \times H^{m-1,2}(\mathbf{R}^3))$$

Here $\qquad \widetilde{X}^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T] := X_+^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T] + X_-^{m,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T].$

Remark: The difference between the solution (ψ,ϕ,ϕ_t) of the nonlinear problem (1),(2),(3) and the corresponding linear problem belongs to the space $H^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^3) \times H^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)$. This smoothing property (which is charateristic for the method) follows from Proposition 5.2 and 5.3.

References

[1] A. Bachelot: *Problème de Cauchy pour des systèmes hyperboliques semi-linéaires.* Ann. Inst. H. Poincarè, Analyse non linéaire, 1 (1984), 453-478

[2] J.B. Baillon - J.M. Chadam: *The Cauchy problem for the coupled Schrödinger-Klein-Gordon equations*. De la Penha, Medeiros (eds.): Contemporary developments in Continuum Mechanics and Partial Differential Equations. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1978), 37-44

[3] J. Bergh, J. Löfström: *Interpolation spaces*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976

[4] J. Bourgain: *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and appplications to nonlinear evolution equations I. Schrödinger equations*. Geometric and Functional Analysis 3 (1993), 107-156

[5] J. Bourgain: *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and appplications to nonlinear evolution equations II. The KdV equation*. Geometric and Functional Analysis 3 (1993), 209-262

[6] J. Bourgain: *Refinements of Strichartz' inequality and applications to 2D–NLS with critical nonlinearity*. Int. Math. Research Notices no.5 (1998), 253-283

[7] J. Bourgain: *Scattering in the energy space and below for 3D NLS*. J. d'Analyse Math. 75 (1998), 267-297

[8] J. Bourgain: *Global solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1999

[9] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao: *Global well-posedness for Schrödinger equations with derivative*. Siam J. Math. Analysis 33 (2001), 649-669

[10] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao: *A refined global well-posedness result for Schrödinger equations with derivative*. Siam J. Math. Analysis 34 (2002), 64-86

[11] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao: *Almost conservation laws and global rough solutions to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Math. Res. Letters 9 (2002), 659-682

[12] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao: *Sharp global well-posedness for KdV and modified KdV on* $\mathbf{R}$ *and* $\mathbf{T}$. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2003), 705-749

[13] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao: *Global existence and scattering for rough solutions to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation on* $\mathbf{R}^3$. Preprint arXiv:math.AP/0301260

[14] J. Colliander, G. Staffilani, and H. Takaoka: *Global wellposedness for KdV below* L^2. Math. Res. Lett. 6 (1999), 755-778

[15] G. Fonseca, F. Linares, and G. Ponce: *Global well-posedness for the modified Korteweg-de Vries equation*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 24 (1999), 683-705

[16] I.M. Gelfand, G.E.Schilow: *Verallgemeinerte Funktionen (Distributionen) I*. Deutscher Verlag d. Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1967

[17] J. Ginibre, Y. Tsutsumi and G. Velo: *On the Cauchy problem for the Zakharov system*. J. Functional Analysis 151 (1997), 384-436

[18] J. Ginibre, G. Velo: *Generalized Strichartz inequalities for the wave equation*. J. Functional Anal. 133 (1995), 50-68

[19] M. Keel, T. Tao: *Local and global well-posedness of wave maps on* $\mathbf{R}^{1+1}$ *for rough data*. Intern. Math. Res. Notices (1998), 1117-1156

[20] C. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega: *The Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in Sobolev spaces of negative indices*. Duke Math. J. 71 (1994), 1-21

[21] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega: *Global well-posedness for semi-linear wave equations*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 25 (2000), 1741-1752

[22] S. Klainerman, M. Machedon: *Space-time estimates for null forms and the local existence theorem*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 46 (1993), 1221-1268

[23] S. Klainerman, M. Machedon: *Smoothing estimates for null forms and applications*. Duke Math. J. 81 (1995), 99-134

[24] H. Pecher: *Global well-posedness below energy space for the 1-dimensional Zakharov system*. Int. Math. Research Notices no. 19 (2001), 1027-1056

[25] H. Triebel: *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978